

VOL. xx, 2021



Guest Editors: Sauro Pierucci, Jiří Jaromír Klemeš Copyright © 2021, AIDIC Servizi S.r.l. **ISBN** 978-88-95608-84-6; **ISSN** 2283-9216

Circular integration of crude distillation process

Chanikan Bubpha, Kitipat Siemanond*

The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand kitipat.s@chula.ac.th

Circular integration (CI) of crude distillation unit (CDU) is introduced the closed loop to recover waste heat from hot product streams to heat the cold crude steams and reduce the external energy resulting in lower CO₂ emission and utilities cost saving. The stagewise superstructure by Yee and Grossmann (1990) is applied to retrofit heat exchanger network (HEN) of crude preheat train supported by variable heat capacity as a function of temperature. The objective function for HENS is to maximize Net Present Value (NPV) of retrofitted HEN which relates to maximizing utilities cost saving. The optimization problem is solved by using General Algebraic Modelling System (GAMS) with DICOPT optimization solver. The HEN retrofit result shows that this model can reduce 65.44% of CO₂ emission and achieve 12,584,244.74 \$ of NPV.

1. Introduction

CDU unit is the process in refinery and for separation of various crude fractions by their boiling points. The resource of this process is crude oil containing mixture of hydrocarbons with impurities of salts and water, which need to be removed by desalter before entering the distillation column to avoid corrosion of equipment in the crude preheat train (Dawe and Lucas, 2000). The furnace is required to heating up the desalted crude by heat of combustion. Therefore, this process requires external hot utilities for heating process causing large amount of direct CO2 emissions. The refinery is the third largest direct Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions reported about 177.6 million metric tons CO₂e (EPA, 2019). The carbon emissions caused climate change and increasing of smog and air pollution (Osmanski, 2020) which cause humans respiratory disease. The energy efficiency improvement of crude preheat train reduces the energy consumption and CO₂ emission at the furnace by heat recovery. The heat recovery can be achieved by reusing waste heat from the process hot streams of petroleum products to preheat process cold stream of crude. Heat and Water Recovery System (HWRS) proposed by Yoo et al. can achieve 4.348% reduction in CO₂ emission and 20.29% reduction in fuel consumption by using hot flue gases as heat source to preheat water from water desalination (Yoo et al., 2019). Due to the increase of population, industrialization and standard of living, resulting in declining natural resources, global climate changes and risk to ecosystem, the sustainability plays the important role by balancing three principle including environmental protection, economic growth, and societal equity (EI-Halwagi, 2017). These balancing also called circular integration which refers to Circular Economy (CE), Process Integration (PI), and Industrial Ecology (IE) (Walmsley et al., 2019). The Process Integration is developed to obtain the sustainable design focusing on the optimal design of HEN retrofit for reducing energy demand relating to the cost-efficiency, and the cost of new equipment and piping modifications (Smith, 2017). The retrofitting heat exchanger network can be achieved by relocation of heat exchanger, re-piping or adding new heat exchanger (Sieniutycz and Jezowski, 2018). Heat integration had been applied in crude distillation unit using HEN which the hot-product streams are used to heat up the cold-feed streams before entering distillation with decrease of utility consumption (Sieniutycz and Jezowski, 2018). The heat integration in pharmaceutical processing facility by transferring heat from hot to cold streams reduces hot utility from 4870 kW to 2620 kW and cold utility from 2300 kW to 50 kW (EI-Halwagi, 2017).

2. Methodology

This study aims to optimize the problem of HEN retrofitting for crude preheat train. The CDU is simulated by PRO/II simulation software to collect the stream data, like specific heat capacity (Cp), flowrate (F) and Temperature (T) of hot and cold process streams. These data are parameters used for Mixed Integer Non-Linear Programming (MINLP) optimization to retrofit crude preheat train. Stage-wise superstructure (Yee and Grossmann, 1990) is applied to the HEN retrofit model with variable heat capacity which is the function of temperature (Sreepathi and Rangaiah, 2015) to find the general form of heat transfer rate (Q) under variable heat capacity shown in Eq 1. Assume Cp(T) = $eT^2 + fT + g$.

Then,
$$Q = \int_{T_2}^{T_1} \mathbf{F} \cdot Cp(\mathbf{T}) d\mathbf{T}$$

 $= \mathbf{F} \cdot \int_{T_2}^{T_1} (\mathbf{e}\mathbf{T}^2 + \mathbf{f}\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{g}) d\mathbf{T}$
 $= \mathbf{F} \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{e}\mathbf{T}^3}{3} + \frac{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{T}^2}{2} + \mathbf{g}\mathbf{T}\right|_{T_2}^{T_1}$
 $= \mathbf{F} \cdot \left[\left(\frac{\mathbf{e}r_1^3}{3} + \frac{\mathbf{f}r_1^2}{2} + \mathbf{g}T_1 + \mathbf{c}\right) - \left(\frac{\mathbf{e}r_2^3}{3} + \frac{\mathbf{f}r_2^2}{2} + \mathbf{g}T_2 + \mathbf{c}\right)\right]$
where $\frac{\mathbf{e}}{3} = \mathbf{a}, \quad \frac{\mathbf{f}}{2} = \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}$
 $Q = \mathbf{F} \cdot \left[\left(\mathbf{a} \cdot T_1^3 + \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a} \cdot T_1^2 + \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a} \cdot T_1\right) - \left(\mathbf{a} \cdot T_2^3 + \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a} \cdot T_2^2 + \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a} \cdot T_2\right)\right]$
(1)

2.1 Objective function for HEN retrofit

This objective function is used to maximize the Net Present Value (NPV) as follows:

 $\begin{aligned} \text{Maximize NPV} &= \sum_{y=1}^{n} \frac{\sum_{i} \text{CCU}(\text{qcuold}_{i} - \text{qcu}_{i}) + \sum_{j} \text{CHU}(\text{qhuold}_{j} - \text{qhu}_{j})}{(1+i)^{y}} \\ &- \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \text{CF}_{ij} \times \max\left(0, (z_{ijk} - \text{zold}_{ijk})\right) - \sum_{i} \text{CF}_{i,\text{CU}} \times \max(0, (\text{zcu}_{i} - \text{zcuold}_{i})) \end{aligned}$

 $-\sum_{j} CF_{j,HU} \times max(0,(zhu_{j}-zhuold_{j})) - \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} C_{ij}max(0,(Area_{ijk}-Areaold_{ijk}))^{B_{ij}}$

 $-\sum_{i} C_{i,CU} max(0, (Area_{i}-AreaCold_{i}))^{B_{i,CU}} - \sum_{j} C_{j,HU} max(0, (Area_{j}-AreaHold_{j}))^{B_{j,HU}}$

Where n = lifetime in years, and I = annual interest rate

zold_{ijk}, zcuold_i, and zhuold_j are binary variables for base-case process, cold-utility, and hot-utility exchangers, respectively.

Areaold_{ijk}, AreaCold_i, and AreaHold_j are area for base-case process, cold-utility, and hot-utility exchangers, respectively.

2.2 Overall heat balances of each stream for variable heat capacity

An overall heat balances of hot (i) and cold (j) process streams are needed to calculated heating (qhu_j) and cooling (qcu_i) duties.

$$Fi \cdot \left[\left(a \cdot TINI^{3} + aa \cdot TINI^{2} + aaa \cdot TINI \right) - \left(a \cdot TOUTI^{3} + aa \cdot TOUTI^{2} + aaa \cdot TOUTI \right) \right] = \sum_{j \in CPk \in ST} \alpha_{ijk} + \alpha_{iik}, i \in HP$$

$$Fj \cdot \left[\left(d \cdot TOUTJ^{3} + dd \cdot TOUTJ^{2} + ddd \cdot TOUTJ \right) - \left(d \cdot TINJ^{3} + dd \cdot TINJ^{2} + ddd \cdot TINJ \right) \right] = \sum_{i \in HPk \in ST} \alpha_{ijk} + \alpha_{ijk}, j \in CP$$

$$(2)$$

2.3 Heat balances at each stage

An energy balances of hot (i) and cold (j) process streams are also needed to determine the temperature at each stage of the superstructure. The set of k = 1, ..., NOK is used to represent the stage number while the set of k = 1, ..., NOK+1 is used to define the temperature location of temperature stage.

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{Fi} \cdot \left[\left(a \cdot t _{i,k}^{3} + a a \cdot t _{i,k}^{2} + a a a \cdot t _{i,k}^{2} \right) - \left(a \cdot t _{i,k+1}^{3} + a a \cdot t _{i,k+1}^{2} + a a a \cdot t _{i,k+1}^{3} \right) \right] &= \sum_{j \in CP} \sum_{k \in ST} q_{ijk} \quad i \in \mathsf{HP}, \ , \ k \in \mathsf{ST} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{Fj} \cdot \left[\left(a \cdot t _{j,k}^{3} + a a \cdot t _{j,k}^{2} + a a a \cdot t _{j,k+1}^{3} + a a \cdot t _{j,k+1}^{2} + a a a \cdot t _{j,k+1}^{2} \right) \right] &= \sum_{i \in HP} \sum_{k \in ST} q_{ijk} \quad j \in \mathsf{CP}, \ , \ k \in \mathsf{ST} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

2.4 Hot utility load (HU) and cold utility load (CU)

Hot (qhu_i) and cold (qcu_i) utility requirements are determined for each process stream. The temperature location of stage 1 or NOK+1 can be relaxed because cold and hot utility loading will replace the deficit or surplus energy of that stream, respectively.

 $\mathsf{Fi}\left[\left(a \cdot \mathsf{ti}_{i,\mathsf{nok+1}}^3 + aa \cdot \mathsf{ti}_{i,\mathsf{nok+1}}^2 + aaa \cdot \mathsf{ti}_{i,\mathsf{nok+1}}\right) - \left(a \cdot \mathsf{TOUTI}_i^3 + aa \cdot \mathsf{TOUTI}_i^2 + aaa \cdot \mathsf{TOUTI}_i\right)\right] = \mathsf{qcu}_i, i \in \mathsf{HP}$

Fj.[(a·TOUTJj ³ +aa·TOUTJj ² + aaa	·TOUTJj) - (a·t	_{j,1} ³ +aa∙tj _{j,1} ²	² + aaa ·tj _{j,1})] = qhu _j , j∈CP	(4)
----------------------------------------------------------	-----------------	------------------------------------------	------------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

2.5 Assignment of superstructure inlet temperatures

Fixed inlet temperature (TIN) is assigned as the inlet temperature to the superstructure.

$IINI = II_{i,1},$	IEHP	
TINJ = Tj _{j,nok+1} ,	j∈CP	(5)

2.6 Feasibility of temperatures

defines the stream temperature on the left-hand side is higher than right-hand side location of each stage. Thus, temperature at stage location k is always higher than stage location k+1 for temperature stage k.

tii,k ≥ tii,k+1,	i∈HP , k∈ST	
tjj,k ≥ tjj,k+1,	j∈CP [,] k∈ST	
TOUTIi ≤ tİi,nok+1,	i∈HP	
TOUTJ _j ≥ tj _{j,1} ,	j∈CP	(6)

2.7 Calculation of approach temperatures

These constraints are used to ensure that temperature of hot stream (i) is higher than cold stream (j), to specify temperature difference. The binary variables are used to activate when the match is occurred, zijk is 1, otherwise, zijk is 0. The temperature difference between hot and cold stream at any stage (dt_{ijk}) must be at least Exchanger minimum approach temperature (EMAT). dt_{ijk} \leq ti_{i,k} - tj_{j,k}+gamma·(1-z_{ijk}), i \in HP, j \in CP, k \in ST

 $dt_{ijk+1} \le ti_{i,k+1} - tj_{j,k+1} + gamma \cdot (1-z_{ijk}) i \in HP$, $j \in CP$, $k \in ST$

dtcu_i≤ti_{i,nok+1}-tcuout +
$$\sum_{j \in CP}$$
 gamma·(1-zcu_i), i∈HP

$$dthu_{j} \leq thuout-tj_{j,1} + \sum_{i \in HP} gamma \cdot (1-zhu_{j}), \quad j \in CP$$

$$dtcu2_{i} \leq TOUTI_{i-t}cuin + \sum_{j \in CP} gamma \cdot (1-zcu_{i}) i \in HP \quad (7)$$

where

dt_{ijk}, dt_{ijk+1}, dtcui, dtcu2i, dthuj, dthu2j ≥ EMAT gamma = max [0, TINJj–TINIi, TINJj –TOUTIi, TOUTJj– TINIi, TOUTJj –TOUTIi]

2.8 Logical constraints

These constraints are used to specify the existing of heat exchanger between hot stream (i) and cold stream (j) in stage (k) and the utilities at each stream by using binary variable which are zijk for the stream match, zcui for matches involving cold utility, and zhuj for matches involving hot utility. If process stream matching exists, binary variable will be 1.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{qijk} &- \min(\text{echi}, \text{eccj}) \ \text{zijk} &\leq 0, \quad i \in \text{HP} \ , \ j \in \text{CP}, \ k \in \text{ST} \\ \text{Qcui} - \text{ech} \cdot \text{zcui} &\leq 0, \qquad i \in \text{HP} \\ \text{Qhuj} - \text{ecc} \cdot \text{zhuj} &\leq 0, \qquad j \in \text{CP} \qquad (8) \\ \text{where} \ z_{ijk,} \ \text{zcui}, \ \text{zhuj} \ = 0,1 \\ \text{ech}_i &= F_{j.} \underbrace{\text{Cp}}_i (\text{TINI}_i - \text{TOUTJ}_j) \\ \text{ecc}_j &= F_{j.} \underbrace{\text{Cp}}_j (\text{TOUTJ}_j - \text{TINI}_j) \end{array}$$

2.9 The Log Mean Temperature Difference (LMTD)

Chen approximation for exchanger temperature approach calculation is used to avoid numerical difficulties when the approach temperatures on both Sides of exchanger matching are equal:

$$LMTDijk = \left[(dt_{ijk}) (dt_{ijk+1}) \frac{dt_{ijk} + dt_{ijk+1}}{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}$$
$$LMTDCUi = \left[(dtcu_i) (dtcu2_i) \frac{dtcu_i + dtcu2_i}{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}$$
$$LMTDHUj = \left[(dthu_j) (dthu2_j) \frac{dthu_j + dthu2_j}{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}$$

(9)

2.10 Area calculation

If heat duty matching (q_{ijk}) occurs, the area of process cold utility and hot utility is calculated.

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{Aqijk} &= \sum_{i \in \mathsf{HP} j \in \mathsf{CPk} \in \mathsf{ST}} \sum_{\substack{\left[U_{ij} \cdot \mathsf{LMTD}_{ijk} \right]}} \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{i} \in \mathsf{HP} \\ \mathsf{i} \in \mathsf{CP} \end{array}, \mathsf{k} \in \mathsf{ST} \\ \mathsf{Acui} &= \sum_{i \in \mathsf{CP}} \left[\frac{\mathsf{qcu}_i}{\mathsf{U}_{i,\mathsf{CU}} \cdot \mathsf{LMTD}_{i,\mathsf{CU}}} \right], & \mathsf{i} \in \mathsf{HP} \\ \end{split}$$

Ahui =
$$\sum_{j \in HP} \left[\frac{qhu_j}{U_{j,HU} \cdot LMTD_{j,HU}} \right], \qquad j \in CP$$
(10)

where

$$\frac{1}{U_{ij}} = \frac{1}{h_i} + \frac{1}{h_j}, \ \frac{1}{U_{i,CU}} = \frac{1}{h_i} + \frac{1}{h_{CU}}, \ \frac{1}{U_{i,CU}} = \frac{1}{h_i} + \frac{1}{h_{CU}}$$

3. Result and discussion

3.1 Crude distillation unit as base case



Base-case crude distillation unit was simulated by Pro/II (version10) simulation containing 4 hot product streams (H1, H2, H3, and H4) and 2 cold crude streams (C1 and C2) with 4 process exchangers (E1, E2, E3, and E4), 3 coolers (CU1, CU2, and CU3) and 2 heaters (HU1 and HU2) as shown in Figure 1 and 2. The stream and economic cost data of base-case of exchangers are shown in Table 1. From this basecase crude preheat train, the energy consumption of hot and cold utilities, and the area of heat exchanger and utilities are identified as base case are shown in Table 2.

Figure 1: Existing process flow diagram for base-case crude preheat train by PRO/II



Figure 2 Existing grid diagram for base-case crude preheat train

Stroom	TIN	TOUT	Flowrate	Cp = aT	²+bT+c (kJ/kg⋅	°C)	h	Cost
Stream	(°C)	(°C)	(kg/s)	а	b	С	(kW/m²⋅°C)	(\$/kW⋅year)
Hot strea	ams							
H1	199.21	40	25.3275	-2.2990E-06	4.4100E-03	1.7680	0.2	
H2	266.05	40	27.0459	-2.0260E-06	4.4046E-03	1.6882	0.2	
H3	330.08	45	16.1855	-1.7748E-06	4.3359E-03	1.6511	0.2	
H4	358.52	45	105.789	-1.8025E-06	4.3126E-03	1.5840	0.2	
Cold stre	eams							
C1	29.51	94.71	237.398	-1.6601E-05	5.2186E-03	1.9368	0.2	
C2	106.43	259.31	212.022	-3.5816E-06	4.4560E-03	1.7209	0.2	
Utilities								
CU	15	28	-	-	-	-	0.53	10
HU	400	400	-	-	-	-	0.53	100
New heat exchanger cost (\$): 250,000 + 550 ∆A								
Additional area cost for existing heat exchangers (\$): 550∆A								
Project lif	etime: 3 y	ears						
Annual in	iterest rate	: 0%						

Table 1: Process streams and economic cost data.

3.2 Optimization and Validation results



The stream and economic cost data were used to retrofit the basecase HEN with the maximum NPV through optimization in GAMS by using 4-stage superstructure model with variable Cp and exchanger minimum approach temperature (EMAT) of 3°C. The result of HEN retrofit model requires 2 new heat exchangers (E5 and E6) and additional area of three existing exchangers (E1, E3, and E4) as shown in Figure 3 and Table 2. The result shows the hot

Figure 3: Optimal retrofitting HEN configuration by GAMS.

utility (HU) consumption reduces from 82,102.12 to 28,446.53 kW (65.35% saving in HU) and cold utility (CU) consumption reduces from 82,817.99 to 29,162.40 kW (67.79% saving in CU). NPV of this solution is \$12,584,244.74 over 3 years with annual interest rate equal to 0 %. These results are validated by fixing hot - and-cold-stream temperature of HEN and HU. The error between optimization and validation result is shown in Table 3. The difference between these two results comes from the difference of LMTD approximation and the inlet and outlet temperature of heat exchanger and utilities. In table 4, the compared of total CO₂ emission and total energy consumption for the CDU without HEN, the base case and retrofitting HEN show this model recover more heat to reduce external utilities consumption the utilities cost and CO₂ emission by reducing the fuel consumption usage about 65.44% of CO₂ emission (4.7612 to 1.6457 kg/s) as shown in table 4.

Lipit	Heat Duty ((kW)	Area (m	²)
Unit —	Base Case	Retrofit	Base Case	Retrofit
E1	4,167.297	4,167.297	284.368	284.638
E2	10,073.725	10,073.725	2,361.281	2,361.281
E3	6,553.770	6,553.770	1,325.718	1,734.801
E4	9,104.049	9,104.049	1,802.724	1,833.564
E5	-	5,1642.71	-	7,601.185
E6	-	2,012.878	-	362.44
HU1	18,255.899	1,6243.02	390.268	349.261
HU2	63,846.224	12,203.513	2,248.617	554.873
CU1	4,039.571	2,026.693	564.554	384.598
CU2	307.033	307.033	74.023	74.023
CU3	78,471.388	26,828.678	4,478.999	2,579.414

Table 2: the energy consumption of hot and cold utilities, and the area of heat exchanger and utilities of basecase and retrofit HEN from GAMS.

Table 3:	The	Optimization	and	validation	result	of	retrofitting	HEN.

Linit	GAM	GAMS		PRO/II		
Unit	Q (kW)	Area (m ²)	Q (kW)	Area (m ²)	Q	А
E1	4,167.297	284.638	4,165.97	285.208	0.03	0.20
E2	10,073.725	2,361.281	10,076.25	2,246.911	0.03	5.09
E3	6,553.770	1,734.801	6,556.80	1,496.064	0.05	15.96
E4	9,104.049	1,833.564	9,026.00	1,739.231	0.86	5.42
E5	51,642.710	7,601.185	51,645.48	7,323.709	0.01	3.79
E6	2,012.878	362.440	2,011.20	362.149	0.08	0.08
HU1	16,243.020	349.261	16,496.99	3,55.2425	1.54	1.68
HU2	12,203.513	554.873	16,652.38	742.579	26.72	25.28
CU1	2,026.693	384.598	2,027.51	385.171	0.04	0.15
CU2	307.033	74.023	307.26	74.182	0.07	0.21
CU3	26,828.678	2,579.414	26,849.74	2,567.496	0.08	0.46

Tuble 4. The result companson of base case and recontaing the	Table 4: The	e result comparison	of base case and	retrofitting HEN
---------------------------------------------------------------	--------------	---------------------	------------------	------------------

	Base cas	se	Retrof	iit
	GAMS	PRO/II	GAMS	PRO/II
CO2 emission (fuel c	onsumption)			
HU1 (Kg/s)	-	1.2057	-	0.8190
HU2 (Kg/s)	-	3.5555	-	0.8267
Total energy consum	ption			
HU (KW)	82,102.12	95,903.38	28,446.53	33,149.37
CU (KW)	82,817.99	82,847.09	29,162.40	29,184.51
NPV (\$) (3 years)	-	-	12,584,244.74	15,537,729.81

4.Conclusion

To make the model more realistic, variable heat capacity is used in stage-wise superstructure model to do HEN retrofit. Circular Integration (CI) is introduced to design the closed loop by using heat recovery that transfer heat between the hot product streams and cold crude feed streams which is also friendly to environment and health by reducing the CO₂ emission via less fuel consumption in combustion reaction. The retrofitting HEN is optimized by using GAMS software and then this retrofitting HEN is validated by PRO/II simulation software. The installed exchangers for retrofitting HEN on CDU can reduce CO₂ emission about 65.44% of CDU base case. This model can achieve NPV of \$ 12,584,244.74. The model also has some validation error with PRO/II resulting from difference of LMTD approximation and the inlet and outlet temperature of heat exchanger and utilities.

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our best gratitude to Chulalongkorn University's Rachadapisaek Sompote Fund (2017) for supporting this research, and The Petroleum and Petrochemical College (PPC) for partially funding support.

References

Dawe, R.A., and Lucas, A.G. (2000). Modern petroleum technology, John Wiley & Sons Inc.

- EI-Halwagi, M.M. (2017). Sustainable design through process integration: fundamentals and applications to industrial pollution prevention, resource conservation, and profitability enhancement, Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Elkamel, A., Ba-Shammakh, M., Douglas, P., and Croiset, E. (2008). An optimization approach for integrating planning and CO2 emission reduction in the petroleum refining industry. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 47(3), 760-776.
- EPA. (2019). Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (GHGRP). Retrieved from www.epa.gov/ghgreporting/ghgrp-reported-data
- OSMANSKI, S. (2020). How Do Carbon Emissions Affect the Environment? Retrieved from www.greenmatters.com/p/how-do-carbon-emissions-affect-environment
- Roh, K., Lim, H., Yoo, H., Imran, H., Al-Hunaidy, A.S., and Lee, J.H. (2017). Technical and Strategic Approaches for CO. Saudi Aramco Journal of Technology, 41.

Sieniutycz, S., and Jezowski, J. (2018). Energy optimization in process systems and fuel cells, Elsevier.

- Smith, R. (2017). Process Integration: Current Status and Future Challenges. Computer Aided Chemical Engineering, 40, 9-12.
- Sreepathi, B.K., and Rangaiah, G.P. (2015). Retrofitting of heat exchanger networks involving streams with variable heat capacity: Application of single and multi-objective optimization. Applied Thermal Engineering, 75, 677-684.
- Walmsley, T.G., Ong, B.H., Klemeš, J.J., Tan, R.R., and Varbanov, P.S. (2019). Circular Integration of processes, industries, and economies. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 107, 507-515.
- Yee, T.F., and Grossmann, I.E. (1990). Simultaneous optimization models for heat integration—II. Heat exchanger network synthesis. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 14(10), 1165-1184.
- Yoo, H., Roh, K., Al Hunaidy, A.S., Imran, H., and Lee, J.H. (2019). Optimal design of heat and water recovery system utilizing waste flue gases for refinery CO2 reduction. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 124, 140-152.