

VOL. 86, 2021



Guest Editors: Sauro Pierucci, Jiří Jaromír Klemeš Copyright © 2021, AIDIC Servizi S.r.l. ISBN 978-88-95608-84-6; ISSN 2283-9216

Thermal Performance of the Autothermal Reforming (ATR) in a Fluidized Bed Reformer on the Solid open-cell foams: Physical-Mathematical Modelling and Computer Simulation

Marcos Alberto Feitoza e Silvaª, Jornandes Dias da Silvaª,*

Polytechnic School - UPE, Laboratory of Environmental and Energetic Technology; Rua Benfica - 455, Madalena, Recife - PE, Brazil, Cep: 50750-470 jornandesdias@poli.br

The autothermal reforming (ATR) can be processed on the porous materials bed as solid open-cell foams due to high porosity and great surface area. Solid open-cell foams are macroporous reticulated 3D structures constituted by interconnected cavities and made of metals (aluminum, steel), ceramics (alumina, silicon carbide etc.), or carbon materials. This work has as main objective a theoretical modelling to describe the process variables of the ATR on the TFB reformer. These process variables describe the specific aims as from each equation of the physical-mathematical model characterizing the performance from reformer. When the CH_4/O_2 ratio decreases on the ATR method in TFB reformer, the reaction temperature is notably increased. A rise of the average velocity of the gaseous phase ($u_{g,avg}$) indicates a decrease on the reaction temperature profiles in TFB reformer and thus, a reduction in the heat release by the ATR method. An increase of the operating temperature has a significant role of the thermochemical conversion of CH₄ because of the higher consumption of reactants from ATR's reactions.

1. Introduction

The Catalytic Partial Oxidation of Methane (CPOM) is currently considered a promising process for so-called synthesis gas (H₂ and CO). The synthesis gas (syngas) can be obtained from various fuels among which natural gas is of special importance. The CPOM can be processed on the porous materials bed as solid open-cell foams due to high porosity and great surface area. Solid open-cell foams are macroporous reticulated 3D structures constituted by interconnected cavities and made of metals (aluminum, steel), ceramics (alumina, silicon carbide etc.), or carbon materials. In the field of the catalyst reforming process, solid open-cell foams have been tested for the steam reforming of methane and dry reforming of Methane (Chen et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2015). These researchers had reported results of reforming processes using fixed bed reformers, but these authors didn't provide orientation when these reformers were used to process the CPOM on the solid open-cell foam bed. We fill this gap with the approaches indicated by these authors and extend a novel approach for the CPOM process using a Thermochemical Fluidized Bed (TFB) reformer (Lima et al., 2020; Anjos et al., 2019).

The CPOM's combustion reaction is strongly exothermal and it can be used to convert methane (CH₄) into hydrogen (H₂). The CPOM's method includes the thermochemical conversion of CH₄ after occurring chemical dissociation process (Silva, 2014). As negative effect of the CPOM process, due to the highly exothermic nature of the CPOM reaction, hot-spots with serious consequences are formed in the TFB reformers. Having considered the challenges of the CPOM method, it is also acceptable to look at its potentials for being integrated with other processes (Wu et al., 2020, Cruz and Silva, 2017).

With the purpose to reduce the research cost and project time, the mathematical modelling and computer simulation are extensively used to obtain a better understanding of design parameters in reformers. The novelty of the present work lies in the use of open-cell foam (Al_2O_3) bed for the CPOM method. The effects of the CH₄/O₂ ratio and u_{g,avg}. on the reaction Temperature are numerically investigated in TFB reformer. In

addition, the operating temperature's effect on the TC of CH₄ will be verified for the CPOM method from TFB reformer.

2. Thermochemical modelling

A schematic setup is used to study the thermochemical conversion of the CPOM method in TFB reformer according to Fig. 1. The physical model from TFB reformer is shown in Fig. 1.



Figure 1: Schematic setup of the physical model from TFB reformer to study the CPOM method

2.1 Thermochemical kinetic model

The CPOM method's main reactions on the catalyst bed contain two reactions including the combustion of CH₄ and SRM (Silva and Abreu, 2016; Ji et al., 2003) as follows:

$$CPOM: CH_{4(g)} + O_{2(g)} \to CO_{2(g)} + 2H_2O_{(g)}; \Delta H_{298K}^0 = -802.0 \, kJ \,/\,mol \tag{1}$$

$$SRM : CH_{4(g)} + H_2O_{(g)} \square CO_{(g)} + 3H_{2(g)}; \Delta H_{298K}^0 = +206.1 kJ / mol$$
(2)

The above reaction (1) is highly exothermic. On the other hand, the above reaction (2) is highly endothermic. The overall rate equations of reactions are based on the Langmuir-Hinshelwood kinetic model as follows.

1/2

$$R_{CPOM} = \frac{k_{I,CPOM} x_{CH_4} x_{O_2}}{\left(1 + K_{CH_4,0} x_{CH_4} + K_{O_2,0} x_{O_2}\right)^2} + \frac{k_{2,CPOM} x_{CH_4} x_{O_2}^{1/2}}{\left(1 + K_{CH_4,0} x_{CH_4} + K_{O_2,0} x_{O_2}\right)}$$
(3)

$$R_{SRM} = \frac{\frac{k_{SRM}}{P_{H_2}^{2.5}} \left(P_{CH_4} P_{H_2O} - \frac{P_{H_2}^3 P_{CO}}{K_{SRM}}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{F_{H_2O,0}}{F_{CH_4,0}} + \frac{F_{H_2,0}}{F_{CH_4,0}} + K_{CO} P_{CO} + K_{H_2} P_{H_2} + K_{CH_4} P_{CH_4} + \frac{K_{H_2O} P_{H_2O}}{P_{H_2}}\right)^2}$$
(4)

3. Mathematical modelling

A thermochemical modelling is used to model the CPOM method in TFB reformer. Thus, a model is built to study the thermochemical performance inside TFB reformer. This model has been constructed by a system of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations (NPDEs) that couples to a complex kinetic model of the CPOM reaction. The development of the model takes into account the following assumptions: (1) the model from TFB reformer is plug-flow with axial dispersion under transient condition, (2) the radial dispersion is negligible inside TFB reformer, (3) the gaseous mixture has constant density in TFB reformer, (4) the deposition effect of carbon at the surface of catalytic particles has been neglected, (5) the gas behavior inside TFB reformer was considered as an ideal gas mixture, (6) the bed porosity in axial direction is considered constant, and (7)

chemical reaction is assumed to take place at the surface of catalyst particles. These premises are developed to construct the governing equations of the model inside TFB reformer as follows.

3.1 Gas phase's energy balance

The developed equation provides clear information to drive the temperature distribution on the gas phase in porous medium of the catalyst bed. An one-dimensional dynamic equation is developed for the gas phase's temperature as follows.

$$\rho_{g,mix.}C_{p,g,mix.}\left(\frac{\partial T_g}{\partial t} + u_{g,avg.}\frac{\partial T_g}{\partial z}\right) = \lambda_{g,eff}\frac{\partial^2 T_g}{\partial z^2} - h_{gs}\frac{(1-\varepsilon_b)}{\varepsilon_b}\frac{6}{d_p}\left(T_g - T_r\right)$$
(7)

The suitable initial and boundary conditions from Eq. (7) are given as follows.

$$T_{g}\Big|_{t=0} = T_{g,0}; \frac{\partial T_{g}}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=0^{+}} = \frac{\rho_{g,mix.}C_{p,g,mix.}u_{g,avg.}}{\lambda_{g,mix.}} \left(T_{g}\Big|_{z=0^{+}} - T_{g,\infty}\right); \frac{\partial T_{g}}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=L} = 0$$
(8)

3.2 Solid phase's energy balance

The model equation includes the solid phase's convection effect, effective thermal dispersion, the gas-solid heat transfer, and global rate of CPOM reactions. The governing equation for the solid phase is defined as follows.

$$\rho_{s}C_{p,s}\left(\frac{\partial T_{r}}{\partial t} + u_{s,avg}, \frac{\partial T_{r}}{\partial z}\right) = \lambda_{s,eff} \frac{\partial^{2}T_{r}}{\partial z^{2}} + h_{sg} \frac{6}{d_{p}} \frac{\left(l - \varepsilon_{b}\right)}{\varepsilon_{b}} \left(T_{g} - T_{r}\right) + \rho_{s} \frac{\left(l - \varepsilon_{p}\right)}{\varepsilon_{p}} \left[\left(-\Delta H_{CPOM}\right)\eta_{CPOM} R_{CPOM} + \left(+\Delta H_{SRM}\right)\eta_{SRM} R_{SRM}\right]; 0 \le z \le L, t > 0$$

$$(9)$$

The initial and boundary conditions from Eq. (9) are described as:

$$T_r\Big|_{t=0} = T_{r,0}; -\frac{\partial T_r}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=0^+} = \frac{\rho_s C_{p,s} u_{s,avg.}}{\lambda_s} \left(T_r\Big|_{z=0^+} - T_{r,\infty}\right); \frac{\partial T_r}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=L} = 0$$
(10)

3.3 Transport equation of chemical components

Based on assumptions mentioned in section 3, chemical components of the CPOM method in TFB reformer are modelled by Eq. (11). Eq. (11) reports the transport equations for chemical components i (i = CH_4 , H_2O , O_2 , CO, CO and H_2) as follows.

$$\frac{u_{g,avg.}}{g} \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial t} + \frac{u_{g,avg.}}{S_{sp}} \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial z} = \frac{D_{ax,i}}{S_{sp}} \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial z^2} + \rho_s r_{ref.}^2 L(1 - \varepsilon_b) r_i; 0 < z < L$$
(11)

The suitable initial and boundary conditions from Eq. (11) are presented as follows.

$$F_{i}\Big|_{t=0} = F_{i,0}; \frac{D_{ax,i}}{L} \frac{\partial F_{i}}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=0^{+}} = \frac{u_{g,avg.}}{\varepsilon_{b}} \left(F_{i}\Big|_{z=0^{+}} - F_{i,\infty}\right); \frac{D_{ax,i}}{L} \frac{\partial F_{i}}{\partial z}\Big|_{z=L} = \frac{k_{gs,eff}}{\varepsilon_{b}} \left(F_{i}\Big|_{z=L} - F_{i,\infty}\right)$$
(12)

3.4 Numerical solution of the model equation

The numerical solution of the model has been driven for a numeric method which ensures the numerical stability (Silva and Abreu, 2016). Therefore, the developed model was solved by the finite volume method (FVM) in together with prescribed initial and boundary conditions to analyze the performance of the CPOM method in TFB reformer.

3.5 Results and Discussions

In section 3, a physical-mathematical modelling has been developed to investigate the CPOM method in TFB reformer. A computational algorithm using the FORTRAN 95 has been elaborated by authors to compute the CPOM method's variables in TFB reformer.

3.6 Kinetic parameters

parameters	Values	Sources
k1 CROM (mol/kgcat Sec.)	3.14 x 10 ⁻⁴	Ji et al., 2003
K _{2 CPOM} (mol/kg _{cat} sec.)	2.64 x 10 ⁻⁴	Ji et al., 2003
KCH4 0 (-)	6.67×10^{-2}	Ji et al., 2003
K ₀₂ 0 (-)	4.34 x 10 ⁻⁵	Ji et al., 2003
k_{SRM} [mol (kPa) ^{0.5} /kg _{cat} sec.] ⁽¹⁾	7.39 x 10 ¹³	Ji et al., 2003
$K_{eq. 1} (kPa^2)^{(1)}$	2.13 x 10 ⁷	Ji et al., 2003
K_{CH4} (kPa ⁻¹) ⁽¹⁾	9.87x10 ⁻⁷	Ji et al., 2003
K _{H2O} (-) ⁽¹⁾	2.61x10⁴	Ji et al., 2003
K _{H2} (kPa ⁻¹) ⁽¹⁾	5.48x10 ⁻¹²	Ji et al., 2003
Kco (kPa ⁻¹) ⁽¹⁾	7.43x10 ⁻⁶	Ji et al., 2003
$n_{CPOM}^{(1)}(-)$	0.037	Cruz and Silva, 2017
nsrm ⁽¹⁾ (-)	0.015	Cruz and Silva, 2017
Xo ₂	0.032	Silva and Abreu, 2016
XCH4	0.071	Silva and Abreu, 2016
L (m)	0.18	Estimated
d _P (m)	7.9 x 10 ⁻⁵	Estimated
d _{refor.} (m)	4.2 x 10 ⁻³	Estimated
T _{g,0} (K)	873	Estimated
T _{r,0} (K)	873	Estimated
P _{op.} (kPa)	500	Estimated
ρ _{g, mix.} (kg/m³)	0.1692	Silva and Abreu, 2016
C _{p, g, mix.} (kJ/kg K)	121.67	Dias and Silva, 2020
u _{g, mix} (m/sec.)	0.131	Dias and Silva, 2020
λ _{g, eff.} (W/m K)	147.13	Dias and Silva, 2020
H _{gs} (W/m ² K)	0.037	Dias and Silva, 2020
ε _b (m ³ gas/m ³ reformer)	0.39	Dias and Silva, 2020
ρ _s (kg/m ³)	590	Voltolina et al., 2017
C _{p, s.} (kJ/kg K)	1.214	Dias and Silva, 2020
u _{s, mix} (m/sec.)	0.017	Dias and Silva, 2020
λ _{s, eff.} (W/m K)	126.31	Dias and Silva, 2020
$\epsilon_{\rm p}$ (m ³ gas/m ³ reformer)	0.88	Voltolina et al., 2017
g (m/sec.)	3.53 x 10 ⁴	Silva and Abreu, 2016
S _{sp} (sec. ⁻¹)	2.21 x 10 ⁻⁴	Silva and Abreu, 2016
Dax, CH4 (m ² /sec.)	5.28 x 10 ⁻⁶	Silva and Abreu, 2016
D _{ax, O2} (m ² /sec.)	4.97 x 10 ⁻⁵	Silva and Abreu, 2016
D _{ax, H2O} (m ² /sec.)	2.98 x 10 ⁻⁶	Silva and Abreu, 2016
D _{ax, H2} (m ² /sec.)	1.21 x 10 ⁻⁶	Silva and Abreu, 2016
D _{ax, CO} (m ² /sec.)	3.17 x 10 ⁻⁶	Silva and Abreu, 2016
D _{ax, CO2} (m ² /sec.)	9.87 x 10 ⁻⁶	Silva and Abreu, 2016
(1) Computed at 700°C		

Table 1: Physical parameters used to feed the computer algorithm

Computed at 700 C

3.7 Numerical experiments of the reaction temperature

Fig. (2a) was reported that Reaction Temperature Profiles (RTPs) tend to assume an inflection point of maximum values in which the effective temperature of the CPOM method is optimal. The location (\pm 0.046) of maximum values could be owing to the interaction of many factors like the composition of the catalyst bed, initial temperature, operating pressure, and the thermodynamic equilibrium of endothermic reaction. As results, when molar ratio (CH₄/O₂ = 0.25) at the TFB reformer's inlet is low, the released heat of the CPOM reaction has notably effect on the RTP and thus, the RTP is increased. When molar ratio (CH₄/O₂ = 0.75) at the TFB reformer's inlet is higher, the released heat of the CPOM reaction has remarkably effect on the RTP and therefore, the RTP is reduced. After reaching the stable state, the RTPs are maintained constant up to z/L = 1.0 from TFB reformer with values of 875.46 K (CH₄/O₂ = 0.75), 947.21 K (CH₄/O₂ = 0.5), and 998.57 K (CH₄/O₂ = 0.25), respectively. As seen Fig. 2a, an inflection point is also verified in Fig. 2b. The location is reported on the RTPs at about \pm 0.031. As results, an increase of the u_{g,avg} indicates a decrease on the RTPs

in TFB reformer and therefore, a reduction of the heat rate on the CPOM method. Unlike, a decrease of the $u_{g,avg}$ points an increase on the RTPs in TFB reformer and thus, a rise of the heat rate on the CPOM method. Three different values of the $u_{g,avg}$ were used to check the sensibility of the RTP to $u_{g,avg}$. Fig. 2b reports that when the $u_{g,avg}$ is lower ($u_{g,avg} = 0.005$ m/sec.), the RTP is notably increased. Opposing, when the $u_{g,avg}$ is higher ($u_{g,avg} = 0.500$ m/sec.), the RTP is remarkably reduced. After achieving the stable state ($z/L = \pm 0.40$), RTP s are kept constant up to z/L = 1.0 on TFB reformer with values at about 1005.34 K ($u_{g,avg} = 0.5$ m/sec.), 1027.18 K ($u_{g,avg} = 0.05$ m/sec), and 1070.43 K ($u_{g,avg} = 0.005$ m/sec.), respectively.



Figure 2: (a) Profiles of the reaction temperature along of the TFB reformer length at different molar ratios of CH₄/O₂; (b) Profiles of the reaction temperature along of the TFB reformer length at different average gas velocities.



Figure 3: (c) Molar flow profiles of components i along of the TFB reformer length; (d) Effect of the operating temperature on the conversion of CH₄ along of the TFB reformer length.

Fig. 3c investigates the profiles of mole flows for each component i of the CPOM method TFB reformer at the following operating conditions: $CH_4/O_2 = 0.5$, $H_2O/CH_4 = 2.0$, 500 kPa, 973 K, and 0.185 m/sec. In this figure, the mole flow's profiles of the reactants (CH4, O_2 , and H_2O) and products (CO, CO_2 and H_2) have been reported for the CPOM method from TFB reformer. H_2O was consumed by the CPOM method in only 42.37% of the TFB reformer length, after that a stable state is reached up to z/L = 1.0. On the other hand, CH4 and O2 were consumed by the CPOM method in only 78.93% of the TFB reformer length, after that stable states are achieved until z/L = 1.0. After reaching 58.32% of the TFB reformer length, H_2 and CO achieve stable states with values of 55.71% and 26.59% at z/L = 1.0. On the other hand, after reaching 29.89% of the TFB reformer length, CO_2 arrives a stable state with value of 7.33% at z/L = 1.0.

Thermodynamic limitations of Reformers are considered as a great problem to increase the thermochemical conversion of the CPOM method. To solve this gap, it was used the TFB reformer as an innovating use that improves thermodynamic limitations and can perfect the thermochemical conversion of CH₄ as follows.

Thermochemical Conversion (TC) of
$$CH_4 = 1 - \frac{F_{CH_4,out}}{F_{CH_4,0}}$$
 (13)

Fig. 3d shows the TC of CH₄ of the CPOM method in TFB reformer. It is clearly shown that the TC of CH₄ is increasing with the operating temperature's rise. As results, after achieving the stable state (see Fig. (5)), the TC of CH₄ is kept constant up to z/L = 1.0 from TFB reformer with values of 52.67% (573 K), 74.06% (773 K), and 96.53% (973 K), respectively.

4. Conclusions

The present work has been focused towards a numerical analysis of the mathematical modelling and computer simulation to describe the performance of a TFB reformer. The model equations that describe the gas temperature, reactions temperature, molar flow of components i have been reported and discussed. The work's results highlighted the importance of the mathematical model developed to describe the performance from TFB reformer. In this context, main conclusions are summarized as follows.

- 1. The content of O₂ has an important effect on the reaction temperature, i.e., when the CH₄/O₂ is low, the reaction temperature is notably increased. Unlike, when the CH₄/O₂ ratio is higher, the reaction temperature is remarkably decreased.
- 2. The effect of the u_{g,avg} has driven a significant role on the reaction temperature profiles. Similarly to the CH₄/O₂ ratio, when the u_{g,avg} is low, the reaction temperature profiles are remarkably increased. Unlike, the reaction temperature profiles is reduced with the increase of the u_{g,avg}.
- 3. The effect of the operating temperature has driven a significant role the TC of CH₄. An increase of the operating temperature has a significant improvement of the TC of CH₄ due to the higher consumption of reactants from CPOM's reactions.

Acknowledgments

The authors of this paper would like to thank CNPq (National Council of Scientific and Technological Development) for the financial support given (Process 48354/2012).

References

- Anjos E., Oliveira C., Silva J., 2019, Dynamic Analysis to Produce Hydrogen in a Fixed Bed Catalytic Reactor by the Steam Reforming of Toluene, Chemical Engineering Transactions, 74, 553-558.
- Balzarotti, R., Ambrosetti, M., Beretta, A., Groppi, G., Tronconi, E., 2020, Investigation of packed conductive foams as a novel reactor configuration for methane steam reforming, Chemical Engineering Journal, 391, 123494.
- Cruz, B. M., Silva, J. D., 2017, A two-dimensional mathematical model for the catalytic steam reforming of methane in both conventional fixed-bed and fixed-bed membrane reformers for the production of hydrogen, International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 42, 23670-23690.
- Clark, R-J., Mehrabadi, A., Farid, M., 2020, State of the art on salt hydrate thermochemical energy storage systems for use in building applications, Journal of Energy Storage, 27, 101145.
- Chen, X., Wang, F., Han, Y., Yu, R., Cheng, Z., 2018, Thermochemical storage analysis of the dry reforming of methane in foam solar reactor, Energy Conversion and Management, 158, 489-498.
- Dias, V. F., Silva, J. D., 2020, Mathematical modelling of the solar-driven steam reforming of methanol for a solar thermochemical micro-fluidized bed reformer: thermal performance and thermochemical conversion, Braz. Soc. Mech. Sci Eng., 42, 447.
- Dashliborun, A. M., Fatemi, S., Najafabadi, A. T., 2013, Hydrogen production through partial oxidation of methane in a new reactor configuration, International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 38, 1901-1909.
- Ji, P., Van der Kooi, H. J., de Swaan Arons, J., 2003, Simulation and thermodynamic analysis of an integrated process with H₂ membrane CPO reactor for pure H₂ production, Chemical Engineering Science, 58, 3901-3911.
- Lima, K. P. M., Dias, V. F. and Silva, J. D., 2020, Numerical modelling for the solar driven bi-reforming of methane for the production of syngas in a solar thermochemical micro-packed bed reformer, International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 45, 10353-10369.
- Silva J.D., 2014, Dynamic simulation of the steam reforming of methane for the production of hydrogen in a catalytic fixed bed membrane reactor, Chemical Engineering Transactions, 39, 961-966.
- Silva, J. D. and Abreu, C. A. M., 2016, Modelling and simulation in conventional fixed-bed and fixed-bed membrane reformers for the steam reforming of methane, International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 41, 11669-11674.
- Voltolina, S., Marín, P., Díz, F. V., Salvador, O., 2017, Open-cell foams as beds in multiphase reactors: Residence time distribution and mass transfer". Chemical Engineering Journal, 316, 323 - 331.
- Wu, S., Zhou, C., Doroodchi, E., Moghtaderi., 2020, Techno-economic analysis of an integrated liquid air and thermochemical energy storage system, Energy Conversion of Management, 205, 112341.