Analysis of Thermal Defects on Exterior Wall Insulation System
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This paper aims to evaluate the damage degree and actual effect of the exterior wall insulation system. For this purpose, the author decided to investigate a typical building with severe damages on the exterior wall insulation system. Then, the variation in temperature and humidity of the wall was measured by embedded sensors, and compared with the results obtained through theoretical analysis. Coupled with thermal image, the contrastive results help to clarify the causes and solutions to defects like exfoliation and leakage. This research provides new insights on the construction, application and repair of energy-saving walls.

1. Introduction

External thermal insulation has developed rapidly in China in recent years. However, there are still several problems concerning the application of this technology. For instance, an external thermal insulation system may not live to its designed service life (Abdelhafez et al., 2016), because its durability is affected by many adverse environmental factors. A system satisfying all energy-saving standards does not necessarily have a good practical effect.

Field tests are needed to verify whether the actual system can reach the designed life (Gschösser et al., 2012). Nevertheless, there is little report on the field test of the thermal insulation effect. What is worse, all external thermal insulation products are still in the trial-and-error phase. Many poor-quality products have entered the market, and the construction technology is still relatively backward. All these factors are restricting the actual effect of external thermal insulation (Feng et al., 2016).

It has been less than a decade since the application of external thermal insulation to walls in hot-summer/cold-winter zones in China. Despite the short history, various unfavourable factors have emerged, including but not limited to high humidity, excessive dryness and extreme weather (Delgado and Ramos, 2012). Large scale rectification and repair are wanted for the exterior wall insulation system.

This research targets a typical building with severe damages on the exterior wall insulation system. Completed at the end of 2012, the building has been in service for only 6 years. However, hollow defects and partial exfoliation are commonplace on its external façade, which pose a great threat to safety. The damage degree and actual effect of the exterior wall insulation system must be evaluated before any partial or overall repair (Manoosingh, 2016).

2 Methodology

2.1 Experiment design

NiCr-Ni thermocouple sensors were pasted in several areas between the structure layer and thermal insulation layer of the wall. Then, the sensors were connected to a desktop data acquisition device (Alborn MU-56901), which supports automatic acquisition of data and real-time recording of interior temperature (Figure 1). Moreover, a handheld data collector (Alborn 2908) was adopted to measure the water content of the wall surface. In the connector, the FHA696 sensors can capture the internal moisture content (Li et al., 2013), and the FHA6X6 sensors can determine the relative humidity of the internal air layer. The surface temperature of the wall is measured by an infrared thermometer (Lohonyai et al., 2015).
In addition, a B360 infrared thermograph (FLIR Systems) was employed to detect the exfoliation and leakage on the external wall (Barreira and Freitas, 2007). The two phenomena and their detection methods are briefly introduced below.

The exfoliation occurs when the face layer and insulation layer break off from the structure layer under the action of changing humidity and temperature. Once exfoliation happens, a layer of air will appear in the wall structure. With a low heat capacity, the air layer reduces the thermal conductivity of the wall, and suppresses the heat conduction between the exfoliated area and the main wall (Yu et al., 2011). Any change in external temperature will lead to obvious difference in temperature distribution across the wall, forming hot spots and cold spots. This difference can be captured by the infrared thermograph (Cristea and Burges, 2009).

The leakage refers to the infiltration of rainwater through the microcracks on the waterproof layer and the insulation layer. Because of the high heat capacity of water, there will be a huge difference between leaked area and normal area in surface temperature distribution with the changes in external temperature. This difference can also be detected easily by the infrared thermograph.

### 2.2 Experimental procedure

#### 2.2.1 Wall structure

The object is a homogenous flat wall consisting of four layers mainly. Field test reveals that the actual thickness was very different from the designed value. The designed and actual thicknesses are recorded in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wall material (From outside to inside)</th>
<th>Designed thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Actual thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Thermal conductivity W/(m²*K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-crack mortar</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glazed hollow bead Insulation mortar</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.0803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal gangue sintered hollow brick</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime mortar</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.810</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the materials in Table 1, the anti-crack mortar was added with anti-cracking agents like acrylic resin; the glazed hollow bead insulation mortar, the key to thermal insulation, is a silica lava material mainly contains SiO₂, supplemented by ingredients like fly ash, ordinary Portland cement, polypropylene fibre and special compound rubber powder. Among them, the cement, consisting of SiO₂, Al₂O₃ and CaO, might corrode other materials due to its alkalinity. Coal gangue sintered hollow brick is mainly composed of SiO₂ and the lime mortar mainly composed of Ca(OH)₂.

Several thermal experiments were conducted in winter, during which the indoor air temperature was maintained constant for over 2 hours. The multilayer flat wall was simulated by a 1D steady-state heat transfer model. The thermal conductivity data came from the drawings on energy-saving design. Without considering the air layer of coal gangue sintered hollow brick, the heat transfer calculation only computed the overall thermal resistance (Olhager and Johansson, 2012).

Six sensors were embedded on each façade between the structure layer and the insulation layer. Together, these sensors acquired the temperature automatically at regular intervals. The indoor and outdoor
environment temperatures were measured by a thermo-hygrometer (Avci et al., 2013). The mean temperature was obtained by minimum mean-variance method (Hamed and Wahid, 2012).

2.2.2 Numerical example

This subsection compares the theoretical temperature of the designed wall thickness and the measured temperature of the actual wall thickness. According to field measurement, the indoor and outdoor environment temperatures were respectively 20.84°C and -10.46°C. Referring to thermal parameters, the heat transfer resistances of the inner and outer surfaces were respectively $R_i=0.11 \ (m^2 \cdot K/W)$ and $R_e=0.04 \ (m^2 \cdot K/W)$. The heat transfer resistance of wall was calculated as $R_0=R_i+\sum R+R_e=1.03 \ m^2 \cdot K/W$ and the heat flux of wall as $q=(t_i-t_e)/R_0=30.51 \ W/m^2 \cdot K$.

The internal temperature distribution was as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_1 &= t_i - (t_i-t_e) R_i/R_0=17.48°C \\
\theta_2 &= t_i - (t_i-t_e) (R_i + R_1)/R_0=16.72°C \\
\theta_3 &= t_i - (t_i-t_e) (R_i + R_1 + R_2)/R_0=6.20°C \\
\theta_4 &= t_i - (t_i-t_e) (R_i + R_1 + R_2 + R_3)/R_0=8.97°C \\
\theta_e &= t_i - (t_i-t_e) (R_0 - R_3)/R_0=-9.24°C
\end{align*}
\]

The above calculation shows that the designed temperature on the interface between thermal insulation layer and structure layer was 6.20°C, much higher than the measured temperature of 1.84°C, which was much lower than design temperature. Considering that the actual thickness was smaller than the designed value, the interface temperature was calculated as 3.81°C according to the actual thickness, which is still higher than the measured value.

2.2.3 Detection of exfoliation and leakage

The exterior wall was coated with tiles and waterproof materials. Most influencing factors were excluded, such as surface emissivity, angle of view, sight distance, temperature gradient, sunlight intensity, temperature, humidity, wind speed and heat radiation (Balaras and Argiriou, 2002). To locate the defects of exfoliation and leakage, thermal images were imported to the software Reporter 8.0 to distinguish normal morphologies from abnormal ones (Zhang et al., 2018).

The search for leakages was carried out on a sunny day after three days of raining. As shown in the rectangular purple area of Figure 2, a lot of leakage areas were discovered on the exterior wall. The leakage is a main reason for hollowing, surface cracking and exfoliation (Vemuri and Atadero, 2017).

![Figure 2: Thermal and visible images](image)

3 Results and Analysis

3.1 Analysis of test results

The interface temperature between coal gangue-sintered brick layer and mortar layer were measured at five different times. Then, the theoretical temperatures were computed at the designed thickness and compared with the temperatures measured at actual thickness. In all cases, the measured temperatures were lower than the calculated temperatures.

Next, the partial pressure of water vapor ($P_s$) and that of saturated water vapour ($P$) of each layer were computed to determine the presence of leakage. Since there was no intersection between the two distribution lines of $P$ and $P_s$ (Figure 3), no condensation occurred in the wall, i.e. the water seepage in the wall must be caused by external factors.
In the air layer of coal gangue sintered hollow brick, the relative humidity measured by FHA6X6 sensors was above 90% for a long time. The exterior wall surface and mortar layer also had varied degrees of water contents after raining, as measured by FHA696 and FHA636 sensors.

Through the above analysis, it is learned that many auxiliary materials had serious defects, such as the protective layer, alkali-resistant glass fibre mesh, flexible putty (hydroxypropyl cellulose, C_{36}H_{70}O_{19}), anti-crack mortar (acrylic ester, \( \text{CH}_2=\text{CHCOOR} \)), waterproof mortar and elastic coating (acrylic resin (\( \text{C}_3\text{H}_4\text{O}_2 \))n). This goes against the requirements of the Technical Specification for External Thermal Insulation on Walls (JGJ144-2008).

The defects of the auxiliary materials induced the seepage of the wall and moistening of the insulation layer, leading to an obvious decline of insulation ability (Seo et al., 2013). Furthermore, the thermal insulation effect was weakened by the fact that the actual thickness of the insulation layer was below that the designed thickness. This calls for high-quality construction teams, strict implementation of standards and rigorous construction management.

### 3.2 Display effect of exfoliation on thermal images taken at different times

Taking the west façade of the target building for example, the thermal images on exfoliation obtained in the heating and cooling phases were imported to Reporter 8.0 (Figure 4). Six temperature points were selected from the exfoliated area (group 1) and six from the normal area (group 2). According to the table generated by the software, the mean values were calculated for each group of data, and the difference between the two means (\( \Delta t \)) was also obtained to judge the display effect of exfoliation (Cunningham et al., 2016). A total of 18 thermal images were taken at different times, and all computations were carried out at the same place. The temperature difference curves were drawn and recorded in Figure 5.

![Figure 4: Thermal image and temperature points](image-url)
As shown in Figure 5, there was a huge temperature difference in the heating phase. The mean difference of the 9 groups was 3.90°C and the peak temperature reached 5.85°C. The best observation time fell between 14:00 and 15:30. A possible explanation is that the sunshine is stronger in this period during the winter. By contrast, the temperature difference was rather small in the cooling phase. The mean difference of the 9 groups was -1.11°C and the peak temperature was -2.24°C. The best observation time fell between 17:30 and 19:00. This is probably because the temperature varies little when it is getting dark.

For intuitiveness, relatively high and low temperature areas were selected from the thermal image in the experiment. In actual practice, exfoliation defects could be identified well when the temperature difference between normal point and defect point reached 0.5°C. In the cooling phase, the thermal image boasts the optimal effect if the sunshine lasts for a while before it suddenly disappears.

4. Conclusions

In the target wall, the thermal insulation effect was reduced by the insufficient thickness of insulation layer, and worsened by the moisture absorption. There was no condensation in the wall and the water seepage in the wall must be caused by external factors. The poor thermal insulation effect of the building is mainly attributable to the substandard quality and quantity and the immature construction technology.

The thermal image offers a good way to identify exfoliation and leakage. The best observation time can also be determined accurately. According to the analysis results, the wall should be repaired in summer when it is easy to make contrast measurement. Thanks to the large temperature difference, the display effect of the thermal image must be better than that in winter.
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