Catalytic Steam Reforming Pos-Gasification Using Toluene as Model Compound
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The biomass gasification is gaining attention of scientists and researchers worldwide to be an innovative and efficient method for producing clean energy. While consuming 'junk' organic, such as sugarcane bagasse or rice husk, the gas produced in the process is used for heat or electricity generation (or gas turbine engines), synthesis of liquid fuels, hydrogen production, chemical synthesis and manufacturing of fuel cells. However, a key challenge in the development and improvement of the biomass gasification process is cleaning the gas produced in order to ensure its quality and applicability. Among the main impurities found in the gasification gas, the tar is one of the worst, the presence of this kind of impurity can prejudice the performance of the process and also damage equipment. There are several methods for tar removal. Catalytic process is the most studied and the one that shows the best results. This paper presents an isothermal mathematical model that characterizes production and consumption of tar, modelled by toluene, reforming reaction using nickel-based catalyst. A computer code in FORTRAN 90 language was developed to perform the simulation, which described the concentrations of the main components (C7H8, O2, H2 and CO), as well as the reaction yield and influence of temperature on generation of products. Then the optimum conditions for carrying out the process were determined.

1. Introduction

The concerns about using fossil fuels, the possible exhaustion of its sources and the environmental problems that the usage of this kind of energy source can cause are growing day by day, at the same time that the energetic demand is reaching the higher levels of the humanity history. Because of this, scientists and researchers have concentrated efforts on finding solutions to the current energy needs. These solutions are based on improvement and development of processes for energy generation from renewable sources. The biomass gasification is one of these renewable sources with a high potential for energy generation through the gasification, which will produce the synthesis gas that will be used to make biofuels, in fuel cells, gas turbines, or engines. The challenge in using biomass gasification for energy generation is the cleaning of the gas, since the produced gas contains a series of impurities.

Among the main impurities founded in the gas from biomass are ammonia, dust and tar. These kind of impurities can bring operating undesirable consequences, as blocking pipes due to condensation or polymerization of tar. Moreover, the burning of gases such as ammonia is a source of nitrogen oxides (Simmel et al., 1996).

Tar from biomass gasification is defined as hydrocarbons with molecular weight higher than benzene. There are several ways of removal this kind of impurity, two of them are the most used: selection of process conditions, known as primary methods, in which the operating conditions and the type of the reactor are selected, and the secondary methods, involving the use of cyclones, adsorbents, filters, riverbeds adsorption, scrubbers, electrostatic precipitators and catalytic removal (Quitete et al., 2014). In view of the aim of this paper, the catalytic removal is the only method which will be addressed. The catalysts used on tar removal can be divided into two classes: mineral catalysts and synthetic catalysts. The main mineral catalysts are the dolomites, the olivines and the clay minerals and iron ores. The dolomites have...
low costs and high efficiency, but low mechanical strength. The olivines have higher mechanical strength, but the efficiency is lower than the dolomites. The main synthetic catalysts are char, catalysts based on alkali metal, activated alumina and catalysts based on transition metals. Transition metals are considered to be good catalysts for steam reforming and dry methane and hydrocarbons. Catalysts based on nickel (Ni) supported on alumina, are cheaper and sufficiently active than other metals such as platinum (Pt), ruthenium (Ru) and rhodium (Rh) (Benedito, 2012).

In this paper an analysis of the behavior of temperature and concentrations of the main components of the tar from biomass gasification removal reaction in a fluidized bed catalytic reactor (FB) was done. Toluene was used as model compound because it’s the main component of tar. The reaction yield and the influence of the temperature on it were also analyzed.

2. Mathematical Modeling

In this work, a kinetic model is presented, which is characterized by approach to chemical kinetics and transport phenomena involved. It is a model based on mass balances and energy of the solid and gaseous phases. Mathematical models for catalytic fluidized bed reactors are important to design such systems starting from a laboratory scale, as well as starting from an existing system extrapolating them to the condition that it wants to use. A good model will help identify the sensitivity of performance of a catalytic reactor by varying different operating conditions and design parameters (Silva, 2013).

2.1. Kinetic Mechanism

For the present study the catalytic steam reforming of toluene reaction was considered:

$$2C_7H_8 + 7O_2 \rightarrow \frac{Ni/Al_2O_3}{8H_2 + 14CO}$$

The component models of this reaction are defined as toluene (C7H8), oxygen (O2), hydrogen (H2) and carbon monoxide (CO). The stoichiometric coefficients models for these components are reported in Table (1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>C7H8</th>
<th>O2</th>
<th>H2</th>
<th>CO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>+8</td>
<td>+14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reaction rate for each component of the reaction (1) is given by the following equation:

$$R_i = k_i C_i$$

Where: $R_i$ → Reaction rate of component i [1/s]; $k_i$ → Constant of reaction [-]; $C_i$ → Concentration of component i [Kg m⁻³].

The constant of reaction ($k_i$) is defined as:

$$k_i = k_{io} \exp \left( -\frac{Ea}{R T_g} \right)$$

Where: $k_{io}$ → Frequency factor [m³/m⁵]; Ea → Activation energy [KJ/Kg]; R → Universal gas constant [m³ · Pa · K⁻¹ · mol⁻¹]; Tg → Gas temperature [K].

The consumption and formation net rates, $r_i$, for each component model of the reaction were obtained by using the following equation:

$$r_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{ij} R_j$$

Where: $i$ = C7H8, O2, H2, CO; $r_i$ → Net rate of the component i [mol s⁻¹ m⁻³]; $v_{ij}$ → Stoichiometric coefficients of the reaction [-]; $R_j$ → Reaction rate [1/s]; $j = 1$.

By using the equations (2), (3) and (4), we can obtain the net rate for each one of the components, as given in the table below:
Table 2: Net rate for each reaction component

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Net rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;7&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;8&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>( n_1 = -2K_1 \exp\left(\frac{-E_a}{RT_g}\right)C_1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>( n_{O_2} = -7K_2 \exp\left(\frac{-E_a}{RT_g}\right)O_2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>( n_{H_2} = +8K_3 \exp\left(\frac{-E_a}{RT_g}\right)H_2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>( n_{CO} = +14K_4 \exp\left(\frac{-E_a}{RT_g}\right)CO )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Model for the solid and gaseous phase

This paper seeks to analyze the concentrations of the individual components of the equation (1), thus, a model that is based on the conservation equations of mass was proposed, so that the generating terms are described by physical sub models (mass transfer) and chemicals (chemical kinetics). The mass balance equations of the reaction (1) model components (reactants and products) have been described as follows:

- Mass balance for the model components

\[
\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} + \frac{4Q_g}{\pi d^2} \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial z} = D_i \frac{\partial^2 C_i}{\partial z^2} + \varepsilon_s \eta_i \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t}
\]  

(5)

- Initial and boundary conditions of the model components

\[
C_i|_{t=0} = C_i,0 ; \quad D_i \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial z}|_{z=0^+} = \frac{4Q_g}{\pi d^2} \left[ C_i|_{z=0^+} - C_i,0 \right] ; \quad \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial z}|_{z=l} = 0
\]  

(6)

Where: \( i = C_7H_8, O_2, H_2, CO \).

These equations are a simplified mathematical model of axial dispersion consisting of four partial differential equations (PDEs) and their respective initial and boundary conditions for a catalytic reactor FB. Due the axial dispersion have been considered, the boundary conditions are the Dankwerts conditions, that specify the gaseous and solid temperature values, and the model components concentration. The Method of Lines has been used for transforming the partial differential equations (PDEs) into ordinary differential equations (ODEs). A computer code in FORTRAN 90 language was developed in order to solve the system of ODEs and analyze the evolution of chemical species over time variable.

3. Results and discussion

Besides the analysis of the components concentration, it was also performed a study of the yield for hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub>) and carbon monoxide (CO), which were analyzed according the following equations (Swierczynski, 2007):

\[
\psi_{H_2} = \frac{\left[ H_2 \right]_{out}}{\left[ C_7H_8 \right]_{in}} ; \quad \psi_{CO} = \frac{\left[ CO \right]_{out}}{14 \left[ C_7H_8 \right]_{in}}
\]  

(7)

In the simulation of the process variables under study, the computational code was fed with the parameters reported in Table (3). In this table are shown the operating parameters of the catalytic reactor FB reaction area, mass transfer coefficients and physical properties of the gaseous and solid phases. The parameters of the Table (3) were obtained from experiments described in the literature and were admitted as fixed values.

Figure 1 shows the comparison between the experimental data obtained by Zhang et al. (2007) and the theoretical optimized data of the present study. The comparison shows a good fit of the experimental and optimized for the toluene conversion.

Figure 2 shows the production and consumption of the chemical species studied versus the time variable. H<sub>2</sub> and CO are produced from a initial values of C<sub>H2</sub> = 0.00 Kg m<sup>-3</sup> and C<sub>CO</sub> = 0.00 Kg m<sup>-3</sup> until reach the steady state in +/- 35 s. The products are consumed from initial values of C<sub>H2</sub> = 0.142 and O<sub>2</sub> = 0.100, reaching their minimum values in +/- 35 s.
Table 3: Input values of the operating conditions, properties of gas and solid phases used in the simulation (Oliveira, 2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Numerical Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Conditions</td>
<td>Gas operating temperature (T), °C</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pressure (P), atm</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gas flow (Qg), m³ s⁻¹</td>
<td>1.367x10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial concentration of C₇H₈ (C₇H₈₀), kg m⁻³</td>
<td>0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial concentration of O₂ (C₂O₂₀), kg m⁻³</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial concentration of CO (C₃O₀), kg m⁻³</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial concentration of H₂ (C₂H₂₀), kg m⁻³</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Catalytic reactor diameter (dᵣ), m</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Catalytic reactor length (z), m</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaseous phase properties</td>
<td>Diffusion coefficient of C₇H₈ (D₇H₈₀), m²s⁻¹</td>
<td>2.571x10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diffusion coefficient of O₂ (D₂O₂), m²s⁻¹</td>
<td>3.24x10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diffusion coefficient of H₂ (D₂H₂), m²s⁻¹</td>
<td>3.52x10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diffusion coefficient of CO (D₃O), m²s⁻¹</td>
<td>4.61x10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid phase properties</td>
<td>Porosity of the solid phase (εₛ), (-)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effectiveness factor</td>
<td>Effectiveness factor of reaction (η)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Comparison between the experimental data from Zhang et al. (2007) and the theoretical results of this paper. Where T is the temperature and Qₒ is the gas flow.

Figure 2: Concentration of the chemical species C₇H₈, CO₂, H₂ and CO over time variable under the following operating conditions: Qₒ = 1.367x10⁻⁶ m³ s⁻¹ e T = 800°C.

Figure 3: Profile of the chemical species H₂ over time variable under the following operating conditions: Qₒ = 1.367x10⁻⁶ m³ s⁻¹ and T = 800°C.

Figure 4: Profile of the chemical species CO over time variable under the following operating conditions: Qₒ = 1.367x10⁻⁶ m³ s⁻¹ and T = 800°C.

Figures 3 and 4 show the production of chemical species H₂ and CO, respectively, under the operating conditions adopted. In Figure 3 it can be observed the increase in H₂ concentration over ± 25 s until the
maximum value and then beginning to decrease. In Figure 4 it can be observed the increase in CO concentration which reaches its maximum value at ± 30 s and then beginning to decrease.

Figures 5 and 6 show the concentrations of the compounds H2 and CO for different temperature values over time variable. Figure 5 illustrates the results obtained from the study of temperature effect on the H2 concentration under the conditions described. For the temperature of 800°C is observed the behavior already described in Figure 3. For temperatures of 780°C and 760°C, the concentration of H2 reached the maximum value in ± 30 s and then starts to decrease. For temperatures of 740°C and 720°C is observed a steady state tendency H2 production trend from 35 s of reaction. Figure 6 illustrates the results obtained from the study of temperature effect on the CO concentration under the conditions described. For the temperature of 800°C is observed the behavior already described in Figure 4. For the temperature of 780°C, the concentration of CO reached the maximum value in ± 30 s and then starts to decrease. For temperatures of 760°C, 740°C and 720°C is observed a steady state tendency CO production trend from 35 s of reaction.

It was performed a more detailed study in order to determine the optimized temperature to run the process. The figures 7 and 8 show the improvement of the yield for the products, H2 and CO respectively, of the reaction with the temperature varying for every five degrees within the range of steady state tendency determined from the studies shown in figures 5 and 6. From the figure 7 we can notice that the yield of H2 increases until reaches it’s highest value when the temperature is 740°C. From the figure 8 it’s possible to conclude that the highest value of yield for the CO is obtained when the temperature is 760°C.

Figures 9 and 10 show the performance of the study for the production of H2 and CO for temperatures of 800°C and 730°C, respectively. In Figure 9 is observed increased income for H2 production peaking in ± 25 s and then beginning to decline. The yield of CO production grows to the maximum value in ± 30 s and then starts to decrease. In Figure 10 both yield of H2 as the CO increase to show a tendency to stabilize from 35 s.
4. Conclusions

The model developed for the catalytic reactor FB led to calculations of optimized concentrations of chemical species C₇H₈, O₂, H₂ and CO. The concentration of the chemical species C₇H₈ (toluene) was used to perform the validation of the comparative results of this study and the results obtained by Zhang et al. (2007). For the operating conditions adopted it’s possible to obtain a stable production of H₂ and CO in ± 35 s. For variable temperature it’s possible verify that, for the chemical specie H₂, the optimum range of operation is between 720°C and 740°C, and the best temperature to get the highest yield is at 740°C, under the adopted operating conditions. For the CO this range is between 720°C and 760°C, and the best temperature to get the highest yield is 760°C. For the chemical species produced, H₂ and CO, under the operating conditions used in this work, and to obtain the highest possible return for both species simultaneously, the process should be carried out at 730°C, temperature which shows the income stabilization trend.
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