

VOL. 35, 2013



DOI: 10.3303/CET1335068

Guest Editors: Petar Varbanov, Jiří Klemeš, Panos Seferlis, Athanasios I. Papadopoulos, Spyros Voutetakis Copyright © 2013, AIDIC Servizi S.r.l., ISBN 978-88-95608-26-6; ISSN 1974-9791

Effect of Organic Solvents on Separation of Heat Stable Salts (HSSs) Generated During Carbon Dioxide Absorption Using Amine Solution

Panupat Suppaibulsuk^a, Chintana Saiwan^{a,*}, Teeradet Supap^b

^aPetroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand ^bInternational Test Centre for CO₂ Capture, University of Regina, SK, Canada S4S 0A2 chintana.sa@chula.ac.th

Organic solvents, i.e. 2-ethyl-1-hexanol, 1-octanol, 1-heptanol, 1-hexanol, and 1-pentanol, were used in the liquid-liquid extraction of heat stable salts (HSSs) in a monoethanolamine (MEA) solution used in the carbon dioxide absorption process. The HSSs aqueous solution consisted of 1,000 ppm of formate, acetate, oxalate, and glycolate. An equal volume of 1 M of extractant in different solvents to HSSs solution was mixed for complete separation. Analyses of the concentrations of HSSs in aqueous solutions were completed by using high performance liquid chromatography with an ultra violet detector. The extraction of HSSs without MEA solution revealed that, for the same carbon chain length, the extraction efficiency of 2-ethyl-1-hexanol was better than 1-octanol and for the different carbon chain length, the extraction efficiency of formate and glycolate, with the extractant in 1-octanol was relatively close to that in 1-pentanol. In the presence of MEA in the aqueous solution, the extraction efficiency decreases related to that without MEA and was independent of carbon number in chain length of solvents. An increase of extraction temperature to 60 °C showed that the extraction efficiencies in all solvents and HSSs are independent of temperature elevation.

1. Introduction

Major CO₂ emissions are released by power plants. The energy is generally generated by the combustion of fossil fuels. Coal is a low cost fuel which its utilization is a global warming concerned issue. Emission of flue gases from combustion of coal contains high concentration of carbon dioxide (CO₂). One of the most effective ways to capture CO₂ from the flue gases is amine absorption. However, a major problem with the absorption process to achieve its highest efficiency is amine degradation after long exposure to oxygen (O₂) and other reactive contaminants in the flue gas streams. The degraded amine introduces unwanted compounds in the absorption unit. The unwanted degradation products can be generated by many pathways, one of which produces carboxylic acid formations by reactions of amine and O₂, SO₂ and NO_x (Rooney et al., 1998). These acids can react further with amines leading to the formation of Heat Stable Salts (HSSs). Similar to degraded amines, HSSs has no ability to absorb CO₂ and must be removed from the amine solution because it introduces corrosion, fouling, and foaming in the absorption process Supap et al.(2011) and also Saiwan et al. (2011). Many methods have been introduced to remove HSSs from the contaminated amine solution with an objective to replace the conventional distillation reclaiming process, which requires a large energy input and higher cost to operate with (Verma et al., 2009). The goal of this work is to study the effect of different solvents on the extraction of HSSs, i.e. acetate, formate, glycolate, and oxalate from the aqueous monoethanolamine (MEA) solution. The solvents were selected based on solvation power, which helps transfer HSSs from the aqueous phase to organic phase.

2. Experimental

2.1 Material and equipment

Extractant A (Sigma-Aldrich) in chloride form was used for preparing extractant B (extractant A in hydroxide form). Sodium hydroxide (p.a. grade, Merck) was required to react extractant A to obtain the extractant B. Silver nitrate (analytical grade, Merck), sodium chromate (anhydrous, extra pure, Riedel-de Haen) and ethanol (99.99 %, RCI Labscan, Thailand) were used in a titration of chloride ions remaining in extractant B after the conversion. Formic acid (85 %, Carlo Erba), acetic acid (99.7 %, RCI Labscan, Thailand), sodium glycolate (analytical grade, Tokyo Chemical Industry), and sodium oxalate (Puro grade, Carlo Erba) were used as heat stable salts (HSS). Monoethanolamine (MEA) (99.5 %, Merck) was mixed with HSS to simulate the industrial condition of degraded MEA solution. Solvents used in this study were 1-octanol (≥99.5 %, Fluka), 2-ethyl-1-hexanol (≥99 %, Fluka), 1-heptanol (≥99 %, Fluka), 1-hexanol (≥99 %, Merck), and 1-pentanol (≥98 %, Fluka). All HSS solutions were analyzed by using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC; LC-20AD, Shimadzu Scientific Instrument).

2.2 Extractant preparation

An equal volume of extractant A in chloride form was converted to hydroxide form by reacting with 2 M sodium hydroxide (NaOH). The solution mixture was stirred on a magnetic stirrer at a speed of 1250 rpm for 30 minutes and left overnight to complete the reaction. After reaching phase equilibrium, extractant B was separated from the NaOH solution. The conversion efficiency of extractant A to extractant B was repeated 10 times. The chloride concentration in the extractant B was determined by the titration method known as Mohr's method. Extractant B was dissolved in ethanol and titrated with 0.05 M of silver nitrate, and 0.25 M sodium chromate was used as an indicator. The reaction conversion was repeated until there was no change in the chloride concentration. Water in extractant B was removed by using a rotary evaporator at a temperature of 60 °C for 6 h. After evaporation, extractant B was determined by Mohr's titration method.

2.3 Heat stable salts aqueous solution preparation

A HSS stock solution of each 10,000 ppm of formate, acetate, glycolate and oxalate in aqueous solution was prepared. A HSS 1,000 ppm solution without MEA was prepared by diluting the HSS stock solution 10 times with deionized water. A 1,000 ppm formate in 30 wt% MEA solution was prepared by diluting 98.2 µL of 85.7 wt% formic acid into 100 mL of 30 wt% MEA solution. Glycolate and oxalate (1,000 ppm each) were prepared by diluting each 0.101 g of sodium glycolate and sodium oxalate into 100 mL of 30 wt% MEA solution.

2.4 Heat stable salts extraction

The HSS extraction in the absence and presence of extractant B in various solvents was studied by using the HSS solution without MEA for the aqueous phase and the alcohol solvents for the organic phase. One molar of extractant B was calculated based on the average of conversion of A to B. The extraction was done by mixing equal volume of 10 mL 1,000 ppm HSSs solution (formate, acetate, glycolcate, and oxalate) without MEA and 10 mL of each solvent; 1-octanol, 2-ethyl-1-hexanol, 1-heptanol, 1-hexanol, and 1-pentanol. The mixture of 2 phases was stirred at a speed of 1250 rpm for 10 minutes and left overnight for complete phase separation and to reach equilibrium in a separating funnel. Finally, the mixture of each HSS sample in 30 wt% of MEA was also extracted at room temperature (30 °C) to study the effect of the solvents.

2.5 Heat stable salts concentration analysis

The concentration of HSS remaining in the aqueous phase was analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using UV detector at 210 nm wavelength. The mobile phase, which was 0.05 M dipotassium phosphate, was adjusted to pH 2.6 with phosphoric acid and fed at the flow rate of 0.2 mL/min into the column (Alltech Apollo C18 5u 150 mm x 4.6 mm) at a column temperature of 40 $^{\circ}$ C. Each HSS sample was quantified using a calibration curve. To avoid the over loading effect of MEA concentration, the sampling solution was diluted 10 times with deionized water before analysis.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Separation of heat stable salts

Extractant A was converted to extractant B by a reaction with 2 M NaOH. The average conversion was 63.45±3 wt%. Before extraction, the mixture of formate, acetate, glycolate, and oxalate in the aqueous

phase with and without MEA was analyzed by HPLC. The retention times of oxalate, glycolate formate, and acetate were 7.7, 9.4, 10.0 and 16.0 min. For HSS in 30 wt% MEA solution, there were overlapping pairs of acetate and MEA and formate and glycolate. Thus, the extraction of HSS in MEA solution was done separately in two aqueous solutions, i.e. formate in 30 wt% MEA solution, and glycolate and oxalate in 30 wt% MEA solution. New retention times of the oxalate and glycolate were 7.6 and 8.3 min, respectively and formate alone in the MEA solution was 8.3 min.

3.2 Effect of solvent background on HSS extraction

The HSS extraction of solvents alone (in the absence of extractant) shows that the alcohol solvents could physically extract HSS into itself. Figure 1 shows that as the number of carbons in the alcohol chain decrease, the solvent extraction increases. Acetate was the most extractable (29.86 – 43.53 wt%), followed by formate (9.55 – 17.05 wt%) and glycolate (0.95 - 8.13wt%), while oxalate was unextractable (0 wt%). The trend of physical extraction was related to acid strength (pK_a) of each salt, i.e. acetate (pK_a 4.18), glycolate (pK_a 3.83), formate (pK_a 3.77), and oxalate (pK_{a (1)} 1.25). Shorter alcohol chain lengths of 1-pentanol, showed better physical association than longer alcohol chains of 1-octanol with a salt structure.



Figure 1: Average extraction of HSS with various solvents in the absence of extractant B at room temperature.

3.3 HSS extraction without MEA in aqueous solution

In Figure 2, in the absence of MEA, the result in various solvents showed that, the extraction efficiency of all HSS with the extractant in all solvents are higher than 85 wt%, especially, the extraction of oxalate is higher than 99 wt%. When the straight chain lengths were compared, the extraction efficiencies were independent of carbon numbers for every HSS. 1-octanol yielded an average extraction efficiency of 98.14±0.62 wt%, 90.82±1.06 wt%, of 89.28±3.22 wt%, and 99.80±0.04 wt% for formate, acetate, glycolate, and oxalate, respectively; while 1-pentanol yielded an average extraction efficiency of 97.31±1.25 wt%, 94.35±2.86 wt%, 87.17±4.34 wt%, and 99.45±0.14 wt% for formate, acetate, glycolate, and oxalate, respectively. For the same carbon chain length, the branched alcohols (2-ethyl-1-hexanol) showed better extraction than the straight chain alcohols (1-octanol).



Figure 2: Average extraction efficiency of HSS without MEA in aqueous solution by extractant B in various solvents at room temperature (30 °C).

3.4 Extraction of HSS in MEA solution

The extraction efficiency of HSS in aqueous solution at room temperature (30 $^{\circ}$ C) decreased with the presence of 30 wt% MEA in the solution. The results showed the extraction efficiency was independent of carbon numbers of alcohol solvents with 5 wt% variation. For example, 1-octanol yielded an average extraction efficiency of 67.43±6.95 wt%, 72.44±4.54 wt%, and 99.98±0.03 wt% for formate, glycolate, and oxalate, respectively and 1-pentanol yielded an average extraction efficiency of 66.29±4.96 wt%, 76.43±3.11 wt%, and 99.92±0.15 wt% for formate, glycolate, and oxalate, respectively.



Figure 3: Average extraction efficiency of HSS in 30wt% MEA by extractant B in various solvents at room temperature (30 °C).

3.5 Effect of extraction temperature

Figures 4, 5, and 6 show the effect of temperature on extraction of HSS in 30 wt% MEA solution. As the temperature is increased, the extraction of formate in MEA solution (Figure 4) with the extractant in various solvents shows that results are within the deviation range. This indicates that increases in the extraction temperature did not affect the extraction efficiency in any of the solvents.



Figure 4: Effect of temperature on extraction of formate in 30 wt% MEA solution.



Figure 5: Effect of temperature in glycolate with 30 wt% MEA solution extraction.



Figure 6: Effect of temperature on extraction of oxalate in 30 wt% MEA solution.

4. Conclusion

In the absence of extractant, the extraction of HSS by the solvents alone increases according to HSS acid strength, i.e. acetate > formate > glycolate > oxalate. The extraction of solvent alone shows that the short-chain alcohol has higher extraction efficiency than long-chain alcohol due to lower viscosity which leads to higher mass transfer. In the presence of extractant, but absence of MEA, all of HSS extraction efficiency results were better than 85 wt%. When the straight chains were compared, the extraction efficiencies were independent of decreasing of carbon numbers from C8 to C5 for every HSS. In the presence of 30 wt% MEA solution, the extraction efficiency of HSS at room temperature (30 $^{\circ}$ C) independent of carbon numbers of alcohol solvents, with 5 wt% variation. When increasing the extraction temperature, extraction efficiency was within the deviation range, which indicated that an increase of the extraction temperature did not affect the extraction efficiency of any solvents.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge support from the Petroleum and Petrochemical College Chulalongkorn University, Thailand, and the 90th year Anniversary of Chulalongkorn University Fund (Ratchadaphisekssomphot Endowment Fund) for supporting scholarship and funding for this thesis.

References

Rooney P.C., Dupart S., Bacon T.R., 1998, Oxygen's role in alkanolamine degradation, Hydrocarb. Process. Int. Ed., 77(7), 109–113.

- Saiwan C., Supap T., Idem R., Tontiwachwuthikul P., 2011, Part 3: Corrosion and prevention in postcombustion CO₂ capture systems, Carbon Management, 2(6), 659-675.
- Supap T., Idem R., Tontiwachwuthikul P., 2011, Mechanism of Foramation of Heat Stable Salts (HSSs) and their Roles in Further Degradation of Monoethanolamine during CO₂ Capture from Flue Gas Streams, Energy Procedia, 4, 591-598.
- Verma N., Verma A., 2009, Amine system problems arising from heat stable salts and solutions to improve system performance Fuel Processing Technology, 90, 483-489.