



Dynamic Simulation of Concentrating Solar Plants

Pauline Vitte^a, Flavio Manenti^{*b}, Sauro Pierucci^b, Xavier Joulia^{a,c},
Guido Buzzi-Ferraris^b

^aUniversité de Toulouse, INP-ENSIACET, 4 Allée Emile Monso, BP 44362, 31030 Toulouse Cedex 4, France

^bPolitecnico di Milano, Dept. CMIC "Giulio Natta", Piazza Leonardo da Vinci 32, 20133 Milano, Italy

^cCNRS; Laboratoire de Génie Chimique; BP 84234, 4 allée Emile Monso, 31030 Toulouse Cedex 4, France
flavio.manenti@polimi.it

The discontinuous nature of the solar power forces to study the dynamic behavior of solar plants to characterize their operations, to deepen their process understanding and to improve the performance and maintenance. The present paper described the dynamic simulation of concentrating solar plants with the aim to define a reasonable simplified layout as well as to highlight the main issues to characterize the process dynamics of these systems.

1. Introduction

Solar energy for land applications, but in practice several renewable sources, is characterized by discontinuous nature; on the other hand, although with significant daily, weekly and seasonal dynamics, the energy requirement of industrialized countries can be considered of continuous nature. To meet each other, there is the need to use energy storage technologies (Huang and T-Raissi, 2005, Wilson et al., 2010; Hennings et al., 2011). Energy storage means, for example, to accumulate daytime a certain quantity of energy to be used during the night or, in the case of solar energy, during unfavorable meteorological conditions. Thus, since we are speaking about energy storages and discontinuous nature of power sources, the only way to study the solar energy processes as well as to improve their effectiveness and performances from process system point of view is to pass through the dynamic simulation especially for the systems involving strong dynamics like the concentrating solar plants. Unfortunately, there are not well-established commercial dynamic simulators containing detailed models for such plants, since linear-parabolic solar panels, molten salts, molten salts steam generators, and tanks for energy storages are significantly different from the conventional units adopted elsewhere and traditionally included in the model library for natural gas and oil process simulations (see for example (Manenti, 2012)). There are two possibilities: develop by new the models or transform the existing models so as to make them reasonable for our simulation. If the unavailability of dedicated models will unavoidably lead to their definition by new and, if useful, to their implementation in commercial packages in the next future, this first research activity wants to give an overview of the difficulties behind a dynamic simulation of concentrating solar plants through existing packages. Thus, the key-point is to get a very simplified, but at the same time performing and reasonable, dynamic simulation. Such a simplified simulation is also useful to assess the feasibility and reliability of several very appealing solutions that are going to be installed soon in the solar field such as the real-time performance monitoring of solar panels and units or the optimization of plant transients (i.e. start-up and shutdown) for unit safeguard, plant safety.

2. Archimede solar plant

The Archimede Concentrating Solar Plant (CSP), located in Sicily (Italy), is schematically reported in Figure 1; it consists of two tanks for molten salts storage, series of linear-parabolic solar panels and a steam generator with the associated power generation. The general flowsheet representing the dynamic simulation of the Archimede CSP is reported in Figure 2.

Starting from the tank SALT, the molten salt is entered the plant in the start-up procedure. It is fed to the tank COLD_TANK. An electric motor, a pump P1, and a valve XV1 are positioned on the start-up line. Once the appropriate quantity of salt is entered, the molten salt flows from the tank COLD_TANK to the tank HOT_TANK passing through a pump P2, a valve XV2, regulated by a flowrate controller PID1, and the solar panel, modeled by a pipe model PIP1. The solar energy HS1.Q is provided as external duty to the pipe. This is the typical daytime operation and this process section is called the *solar line*. During the night, the solar line is off, whereas the process section from HOT_TANK to COLD_TANK, called the *generation line*, is always operating at the conditions imposed by the current market demand and power requirements.

In this sense, a dynamic simulation is theoretically able to optimize the power generation and to improve the net margins of CSP by looking at the market demand in real-time and automatically selecting the best setpoints for the power generation. This point will be part of the future developments. On the generation line, the steam generator exchanges with the hot salts to produce steam from water. The steam generator is really a train constituted by pre-heater, steam generator, and super-heater heat exchanger units. A general and single heat exchanger E4 is intentionally adopted in our simplified layout.

The turbine efficiency is fixed at $\eta = 37.5\%$. The plant is designed to produce 4.7 MW and, according to the efficiency, the vapor flow is estimated in 21.63 kg/s. 135 kg/s of salts have to pass through the heat exchanger, assuming that it is ideally at its maximum performance. This exchanger has an area of 3831 m² if its overall heat transfer coefficient is fixed at 0.58 kW/m²/K. About the heating operation of the molten salts, the receivers are 0.07 m diameter tubes and the total length is about 5400 m (9 strings of 6 collectors each and the length of one collector is about 100 m). The direct solar radiation is approximately 1.9 kW/m² in Sicily.

Two tanks for the energetic storage are: 13 m height and 29 m diameter the cold tank; 10 m height and 24 m diameter the hot tank (source: www.enel.it).

Two flow controllers, one for the solar line PID1 and the other one for the generation line PID2, and a temperature controller PID3 for the steam generation (and the check on the minimum temperature of molten salts) are enough to manage the overall system.




Figure 1: Archimede CSP qualitatively layout (source: ENEA, 2012)

related to physical inconsistencies of dynamic simulations. At last, the Figure 5 shows the trends of the main temperature of the generation line during the start-up procedure.




Figure 3: dynamic behavior of storage tanks (24 h-simulation)




Figure 4: start-up of the generation line




Figure 5: temperature evolution during the start-up procedure

4. Discussion

The CSP is a promising technology, but it still has certain open operational issues that can make it less appealing than other rival technologies. Some key-issues for the CSP are the following ones:

- The need to keep the salt molten. It means that very fast transients may occur on the solar line and all the operation units on this line may have shorter life cycles for strong dynamics and frequent changes of operating conditions.
- The need to get the maximum economic benefit from the power generation. This is what is required to solar plants to have faster payback and to bridge the gap with other more energetically efficient renewable technologies. To do so, there is the need to optimize the hot molten salt flowrate supplied to the steam generation looking at the peaks of the local/national electric demand (related to hourly forecasting) and provide the surplus of energy in correspondence with the peaks.

Tackling these key-issues means to significantly improve the performances of CSP technology and its net present value as well as to prolong the life cycle of CSP units.

The dynamic simulation plays a relevant role in solving these problems since it allows to assess a priori the effectiveness of controls and procedures to manage the very fast transients on the solar line or to estimate in advance the future performance of the units involved in CSP according to their age and operating conditions. Nevertheless, higher the accuracy that we want on the assessments and previsions, deeper the level of details that we need in the dynamical model for simulating the CSP. Although simplified, the dynamic simulation presented in this paper is a good tool to define certain guidelines and to identify the critical points for simulating CSP dynamics. For example, there is the need to optimize the start-up (but also the shutdown) procedure to save energy and safeguard to units. Moreover, there is the need to activate the solar panels only when the receiver is filled by molten salts to prevent degradation problems or heterogeneous temperatures within the same receivers.

5. Conclusions

The dynamic simulation is a key-tool to improve the performances and the life-cycle of the concentrating solar plants. A simplified dynamic simulation is useful to preliminary check the control systems and procedures to perform the start-up and shutdown operations to save the panels and receivers and the process units as well, but also to identify the main critical aspects in operating the plant. A more detailed dynamic simulation could allow to optimize not only the process transients, but also the net income of the plant by foreseeing the energy demand and, accordingly, storing the energy in advance and generating the maximum power when required. Detailed simulation means the introduction of dedicated models for the heat exchanger (molten salts – water), solar panel, and molten salt storages.

References

- Hennings, W., Markewitz, P. & Vogele, S. 2011. Energy Storage An Overview of the current State of Technology. *Bwk*, 63, 53-58.
- Huang, C. P. & T-Raissi, A. 2005. Analysis of sulfur-iodine thermochemical cycle for solar hydrogen production. Part I: decomposition of sulfuric acid. *Solar Energy*, 78, 632-646.
- Manenti, F. 2012. Natural Gas Operations: Considerations on Process Transients, Design, and Control. *ISA Transactions*, 51, 317-324.
- Wilson, I. A. G., McGregor, P. G. & Hall, P. J. 2010. Energy storage in the UK electrical network: Estimation of the scale and review of technology options. *Energy Policy*, 38, 4099-4106.
- ENEA, 2012. ENEA: Italian National agency for new technologies, Energy and sustainable economic development, <old.enea.it/com/ingl/default.htm>, Accessed 15/04/2012.