

Influence of the Support on the Deactivation of Bifunctional Catalysts (Pt-Pd/support) in the Hydrocracking of LCO

Alazne Gutiérrez, José M. Arandes, Pedro Castaño, Andrés T. Aguayo, Javier Bilbao

Universidad del País Vasco, Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología, Departamento de Ingeniería Química, Apdo. 644, 48080 Bilbao, Spain
pedro.castano@ehu.es

The deactivation of bifunctional catalysts (Pt-Pd/support) has been studied on the hydrocracking of LCO (light cycle oil, which is a highly aromatic byproduct in the FCC unit). The reactions were performed in a fixed bed reactor using a Pt-Pd catalyst prepared with supports of different acidity and pore structure: B (H-beta zeolite), Y₅, Y₁₂ (H-USY zeolites with SiO₂/Al₂O₃=5 and 12), F (commercial FCC catalyst) and A (γ-Al₂O₃). The operating conditions were: 350 °C, 50 bar, WHSV= 4 h⁻¹, H₂/LCO ratio (n_{H2})=8.9 and time on stream (TOS) = 300 min. The results show that, once the initial deactivation has been elapsed, the catalyst reach a pseudo-stable state subsequent to TOS = 250 min, with a considerable residual activity that is maintained constant. This residual activity increases as catalyst acidity is increased.

1. Introduction

A critical goal of the refineries is satisfying the growing demand for high-quality middle distillates, while lowering the contents of sulphur and aromatics (Babich and Moulijn, 2003). The reserves of crude are declining so heavy and secondary streams have to be converted into lighter products. As a result, the importance of hydrocracking (HDC) in refineries is rising (Lee et al., 2010), as it can intensify the valorization of secondary streams, lowering the boiling point and sulphur and aromatic content of the mixture.

Light cycle oil (LCO) is a secondary stream from the fluid catalytic cracking (FCC) unit, which is suitable for the production of higher quality fuels and light olefins whose demand is increasing. The boiling point range of LCO is similar to diesel, but its high content of poly-aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and sulphur make it inappropriate as diesel-blending (Corma et al., 2001). Considering the fact that most of the refractory-aromatic and sulphur molecules in the LCO have the highest boiling point in the mixture, the heavier fraction of the LCO can be hydrotreated separately. However, the hydrocracking of a LCO complex stream over bifunctional catalysts (noble metal-acid support) is more interesting (but more expensive) (Calemma et al., 2010).

The hydroprocessing of LCO has been studied in the literature using Ni-Mo or Ni-W catalysts under mild conditions (Ding et al., 2009). These catalysts are able to upgrade sulphur and aromatics, but more active catalysts can enhance the conversion at the expenses of more significant deactivation. In previous papers we studied the influence of the support type and acidity on the hydrocracking of toluene (Castaño et al., 2008) and pyrolysis gasoline (Castaño et al., 2006, 2007, 2009), with 70 wt% of aromatics,

concluding that a correct selection of these properties can optimize the conversion, selectivity and stability of the process. The deactivation of the catalyst is a key aspect controlling the viability of the hydroprocessing of LCO with noble metal supported catalysts. Thus, the aim of this paper is to study this deactivation and explore the influence of the support (of different porous structure and acidity).

2. Experimental

2.1 Catalyst preparation and characterization

Catalysts have been prepared using five supports called: B (based on H β zeolite, Zeolyst International, CP814E, SiO₂/Al₂O₃=25), Y₁₂ (with HUSY zeolite, CBV712, Zeolyst International, SiO₂/Al₂O₃=12), Y₅, (with HUSY zeolite, CBV500, Zeolyst International, SiO₂/Al₂O₃=5), F (with FCC commercial catalyst, Albemarle) and A (with amorphous alumina, Stem Chemicals). The catalysts have been prepared by ionic exchange at 80 °C of the supports (previously calcined at 550 °C for 2 h) with an aqueous solution of Pt(NH₃)₄(NO₃)₂ (Alfa Aesar) and Pd(NH₃)₄(NO₃)₂ (Stem Chemicals). The interest of the bimetallic function of Pt-Pd lies in its higher tolerance to S than the monometallic functions (Yoshimura et al., 2007). The catalysts have been dried for 24 h at 120 °C and finally calcined at 450 °C with air for 2 h (5 °C/min). The metal content of the catalysts (digested at 90 °C with HF) has been measured by ICP-AES (Inductively Coupled Plasma - Atomic Emission Spectroscopy) in a Horiba Yobin Yvon Activa.

The textural and superficial properties of the catalysts have been determined by adsorption-desorption isotherms of N₂ at -196 °C in a Micromeritics ASAP 2010. The samples have been previously degassed at 150 °C for 8 h. The surface area has been estimated by means of BET equation and the pore volume and pore distribution according to BJH method. The properties of the metallic phase have been determined by means of H₂ adsorption in a Micromeritics ASAP 2010 according to the method of the double isotherm. The analysis has been carried out at 100 °C.

The acid properties have been estimated by coupling isothermal-adsorption analysis with temperature programmed desorption (TPD) of NH₃. The experiments have been carried out in TG-DSG Setaram 111 calorimeter with a Harvard syringe (for NH₃ injection) and a Balzers Quadstar 422 mass spectrometer (for NH₃ detection). The isothermal-adsorption analysis was performed at 150 °C, after the removal of impurities at 550 °C for 30 min in a flow of He. The NH₃ desorption is monitored under a temperature programmed ramp (150-450 °C, 10 °C/min) (Aguayo et al., 2005).

2.2 Feedstock properties

The LCO (provided by Repsol YPF) was analyzed using a GC-MS (Shimadzu-QP2010-S) (Table 1). The LCO has a 67 wt% content of aromatic, with the concentration of components of 1, 2, 3 and 4+ rings being, 16, 28, 16 and 5 wt%, respectively. The concentration of sulphur (determined by X ray fluorescence in a Philips MiniPhal PW-4025) is 0.55 wt%. The elemental analysis (Euro EA Elemental Analyzer from EuroVector) of LCO is as follows: C = 88.68 wt%, H = 10.22 wt%, N = 0.24 wt% and S = 0.55 wt%. The density, determined according to ASTM D4052, is 0.94 kg/L and the cetane indices, according to ASTM D4737 and D976, are 27.64 and 27.61, respectively.

Table 1: Composition of LCO determined by GC-MS.

Lump	Concentration (wt%)	Lump	Concentration (wt%)
i-paraffins	5.18	acenaphthenes	0.19
n-paraffins	5.43	fluorenes	2.08
olefins	1.55	indanes	5.49
cycloalkanes	0.39	indenes	0.04
dicycloalkanes	0.42	biphenyls	5.15
aromatics	66.83	sulphur compounds	2.22
<i>monoaromatics</i>	16.40	unknown	5.03
<i>diaromatics</i>	28.32		
<i>triaromatics</i>	16.44		
<i>polyaromatics</i>	5.12		

2.3 Reaction equipment and conditions

The reactions have been carried out in an isothermal fixed bed reactor at 350 °C, 50 bar, WHSV= 4 h⁻¹, H₂/LCO ratio (n_{H2}) =8.9 and time on stream (TOS) = 300 min. The products were separated in a gas-liquid separator. The analysis of the gas was carried out on-line in a Varian CP-4900 micro-gas-chromatograph. The liquid products were analyzed off-line in a Hewlett Packard 6890 gas chromatograph with a FID detector and a PONA (50 m x 2 mm x 0.5 mm) column.

The conversion has been determined as the disappearance of the fraction of LCO with a boiling point higher than 343 °C (normally assigned to HCO or heavy cycle oil).

2.4 Coke measurement

Coke has been studied quantitatively and qualitatively using thermogravimetric analysis of its combustion (TG-TPO) (Elordi et al., 2011). The experiments were performed on a TG analyser (TA Instruments, SDT 2960), with an on-line mass spectrometer (Balzers Quadstar 422s). Each sample (30 mg) was heated up to 150 °C in an inert atmosphere and then calcined up to 650 °C (3 °C/min).

3. Results

3.1 Catalyst properties

The properties of the catalysts are shown in Table 2. Y₅ catalyst has the highest values of metallic content, surface area, micropore volume, acidity and acid strength. Y₁₂ catalyst has similar support type as Y₅ (HUSY), but given that it has a lower Si:Al ratio, its acid properties are milder. Figure 1 shows the acid strength distribution of the fresh catalyst (integrating the area of the TPD curve in the desorption ranges of 150-280 °C, 280-420 °C and 420-550 °C, for weak, mild and strong acidity, respectively). Using pure-zeolitic supports (Y₅, Y₁₂ and B) lead to more acid catalysts with acidities close to 600 μmol NH₃/g_{cat}. Y₁₂ and B catalysts have similar acid strength distribution, whereas the proportion of weak acid sites is higher for A and F catalysts.

Table 2: Main properties of the catalysts used.

Catalyst	Pt-Pd content (wt%)	Pore volume ($\text{cm}^3/\text{g}_{\text{cat}}$)	Micropore volume ($\text{cm}^3/\text{g}_{\text{cat}}$)	Surface area ($\text{m}^2/\text{g}_{\text{cat}}$)	Acidity ($\mu\text{mol NH}_3/\text{g}_{\text{cat}}$)	Acid strength (J/mmolNH ₃)
F	0.45-0.42	0.14	0.02	90	65	65
A	0.46-0.37	0.48	0.06	285	347	80
B	0.67-0.57	0.8	0.15	562	598	87
Y ₁₂	0.63-0.58	0.34	0.18	511	550	98
Y ₅	1.12-0.46	0.40	0.25	668	686	109




Figure 1: Acid strength distribution of the catalysts.

3.2 Deactivation of the catalysts

Figure 2 shows the conversion of LCO as a function of time on stream (TOS) for each catalyst studied. F and A catalysts undergo severe deactivation for the initial 2 h on-stream; B, Y₁₂ and Y₅ catalysts deactivate less in this period due to the use of catalyst in excess. Nevertheless, after 5 h on-stream all catalysts reach a pseudo-stable regime.

The deactivation is a combination of fouling (coke formation) and poisoning (nitrogen compounds adsorbed on the acid sites and sulphur adsorbed on the metallic sites). There is evidence of this result during the TG-TPO of coke combustion, where we detect the presence of CO₂, NO_x and SO₂ in the exhaust gases. As a result, deactivation is a combination of reversible steps; (i) of poisoning with sulphur and nitrogen, and (ii) coke fouling. When the pseudo-stable regime is reached all these deactivation steps are in equilibrium and so the performance of the catalyst is steady. Hence, the strongest acid and metallic sites are poisoned first, whereas weak sites continue to convert the feed.

3.3. Relationship between remaining activity and catalyst acidity

Figure 3 shows a linear dependency of the conversion in the pseudo-stable regime and amount of coke deposited on the catalyst for 5 h time on stream with the initial acidity of the catalyst. Y₁₂ and B catalysts have a different shape selectivity of their support.

However, as they have very similar acid properties (see Figure 1), they perform similarly (in terms of conversion and coke formation). This result illustrates the importance of the number of acid sites of the catalyst for the conversion of LCO when the catalyst reaches a pseudo-stable state with a constant remaining activity.




Figure 2. Evolution with time of the conversion of LCO hydrocracking using different catalysts. 350 °C, 4 h⁻¹, 50 bar, n_{H2} = 8.9, TOS 300 min




Figure 3. Relationship between conversion (in the pseudo-stable regime) and coke content with the acidity of the fresh catalysts.

The conversion of LCO is higher for catalysts with higher content of coke (Figure 3). This result is evidence that coke formation is related to the conversion in pseudo-stable state but it is also linearly related to catalyst acidity, which is consistent with the reported effect of acidity on the mechanisms of coke growing (Guisnet et al., 2009).

4. Conclusions

Although catalysts of Pt-Pd on acid supports have a rapid initial deactivation in the hydrocracking of LCO, they reach a pseudo-stable state in less than 5 h with a significant remaining activity that is constant throughout time on stream, which is higher as catalyst acidity level is higher. Consequently, a USY zeolite of high acidity level (low Si/Al ratio) is a suitable support.

This result evidences that a pseudo equilibrium state is reached in the deactivation steps by sulphur and coke, which is enhanced by catalyst acidity. Consequently, the acidity level of the support is of high relevance for preparing stable catalysts of noble metals for LCO hydrocracking.

Acknowledgements

This work was carried out with the financial support of the Department of Education Universities and Research of the Basque Government (Project GIC07/24-IT-220-07)

and of the Ministry of Science and Innovation of the Spanish Government (Project CTQ2006-03008/PPQ).

References

- Aguayo, A.T., Gayubo, A.G., Vivanco, R., Olazar, M. and Bilbao, J., 2005, Role of acidity and microporous structure in alternative catalysts for the transformation of methanol into olefins, *Applied Catalysis A: General* 283, 197-207.
- Babich, I.V. and Moulijn, J.A., 2003, Science and technology of novel processes for deep desulfurization of oil refinery streams: A Review, *Fuel* 82, pp. 607-631.
- Castaño, P., Pawelec, B., Fierro, J.L.G., Arandes, J.M. and Bilbao, J., 2006, Aromatics reduction of pyrolysis gasoline (PyGas) over HY-supported transition metal catalysts, *Applied Catalysis A: General* 315, 101-113.
- Castaño, P., Gutierrez, A., Pawelec, B., Fierro, J.L.G., Aguayo, A.T. and Arandes, J.M., 2007, Effect of the support on the kinetic and deactivation performance of Pt/support catalysts during coupled hydrogenation and ring-opening of pyrolysis gasoline, *Applied Catalysis A: General* 333, 161-171.
- Castaño, P., Pawelec, B., Aguayo, A.T., Gayubo, A.G. and Arandes, J.M., 2008, The role of zeolite acidity in coupled toluene hydrogenation and ring opening in one and two steps, *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* 47, pp. 665-671.
- Castaño, P., Gutierrez, A., Villanueva, I., Pawelec, B. and Arandes, J. M., 2009, Effect of the support acidity on the aromatic ring-opening of pyrolysis gasoline over Pt/HZSM-5 catalysts, *Catalysis Today* 143, 115-119.
- Calemma, V., Giardina, R. and Ferrari, M., 2010, Upgrading of LCO by partial hydrogenation of aromatics and ring opening of naphthenes over bi-functional catalysts, *Fuel Processing Technology* 91, 770-776.
- Corma A., González-Alfaro V. and Orchillés A.V., 2001, Decalin and tetralin as probe molecules for cracking and hydrotreating the light cycle oil, *Journal of Catalysis* 200, 34-44.
- Ding, L., Zheng, Y., Yang, H. and Parviz, R., 2009, LCO Hydrotreating with Mo-Ni and W-Ni supported on nano- and micro-sized zeolite beta, *Applied Catalysis A: General* 353, pp. 17-23.
- Elordi, G., Olazar, M., Lopez, G., Castaño, P. and Bilbao, J., 2011, Role of pore structure in the deactivation of zeolites (HZSM-5, H β and HY) by coke in the pyrolysis of polyethylene in a conical spouted bed reactor, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental* 102, 224-231.
- Guisnet, M., Costa, L. and Ramôa Ribeiro, F., 2009, Prevention of zeolite deactivation by coking, *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical* 305, 69-83.
- Lee, J., Hwang, S., Seo, J.G., Lee, S.B., Jung, J.C. and Song, I.K., 2010, Production of middle distillate through hydrocracking of paraffin wax over Pd/SiO₂-Al₂O₃ Catalysts, *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry* 16, 790-794.
- Yoshimura, Y., Toba, M., Matsui, T., Harad, M., Ichihashi, Y., Bando, K.K., Yasuda, H., Ishihara, H., Morita, Y. and Kameoka, T., 2007, Active phases and sulfur tolerance of bimetallic Pd-Pt catalysts used for hydrotreatment, *Applied Catalysis A: General* 322, 152-171.