



**VOL. 11, 2013** Chief Editor: Sauro Pierucci Copyright © 2013, AIDIC Servizi S.r.I., **ISBN** 978-88-95608-55-6; **ISSN** 2036-5969

DOI: 10.3303/ACOS1311010

# Understanding the Physics of Advanced Oxidation in a Venturi Reactor

# Mauro Capocelli\*<sup>,a</sup>, Marina Prisciandaro<sup>b</sup>, Dino Musmarra<sup>a</sup>, Amedeo Lancia<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile, Design, Edilizia e Ambiente, Seconda Università degli Studi di Napoli, Real Casa dell'Annunziata, Via Roma 29, 81031 Aversa (CE), Italy

<sup>b</sup> Dipartimento di Ingegneria Industriale, dell'Informazione e di Economia, Università dell'Aquila, viale Giovanni Gronchi 18, 67100 L'Aquila, Italy

<sup>°</sup> Dipartimento di Ingegneria Chimica, dei Materiali e della Produzione Industriale, Università "Federico II" di Napoli, P.Ie V. Tecchio, 80, 80125 Napoli, Italy

mauro.capocelli@gmail.com

This paper is part of a research activity concerning theoretical and experimental studies of cavitation as an Advanced Oxidation Process in a convergent-divergent nozzle. The capability and peculiarities of this technology in degrading organic pollutants in water effluents are addressed by theoretical and experimental studies. For investigating the phenomenology of Venturi oxidation, a mathematical algorithm is proposed, which couples a microscopic approach (on single bubble dynamic with chemical reactions) with a macroscopic one (nucleation of bubble clouds). The model is able to calculate the main variables describing the behavior of cavitation and the chemical species concentration at the time of bubble collapse. The influence of operating parameters on the radical concentration has been addressed. Additionally, dosimetry methods for estimation of hydroxyl radical production are carried out through p-nitrophenol degradation. Experimental evidences agree with numerical ones in identifying an optimum level of inlet fluid pressure, in individuating the highest experimental removal rate and the highest theoretical radical production.

#### 1. Introduction

The increasing requirements of human beings are strictly related to the growing impact of industrial effluents on wastewater discharges and to the presence of new substances in aquatic environments, not degraded by conventional treatments (Belgiorno et al., 2007; Caracciolo et al., 2012). The fulfilment of severe water quality standards with respect to those pollutants, leads to the development of innovative technologies to face the problem of tertiary treatment (Eusebi et. al., 2011). Moreover, to meet growing water demands, a big effort is needed in upgrading waste water treatment processes for water reclamation and reuse.

In the last decades, a great interest has been given to advanced oxidation process (AOPs) schemes in which hydroxyl radical generation occurs, to oxidize many of the complex chemicals present in the effluent water (Andreozzi et al., 2011; Rosa et al., 2012). Among these techniques, cavitation has been widely investigated for wastewater treatment applications, particularly regarding ultrasonically induced cavitation (Capocelli et al., 2012; Gogate and Pandit, 2004; Gogate et al., 2011). It has been proved that, controlled cavitation can provide locally extreme pressure and temperature conditions and radical production, key factors in the oxidation of organic molecules.

Although ultrasonic cavitation has shown to give promising results on lab scale, it is not energy-efficient and presents some technical issues on large scale applications (Gogate et al., 2011). In this framework, hydrodynamic cavitation has been recognized as a cost-effective alternative thanks to its low power consumption and simplicity of construction and maintenance (Gogate and Pandit, 2004).

Please cite this article as: Capocelli M., Prisciandaro M., Musmarra D., Lancia A., 2013, Understanding the physics of advanced oxidation in a venturi reactor, AIDIC Conference Series, 11, 91-100 DOI: 10.3303/ACOS1311010

The efficiency of hydrodynamic cavitation is the result of several parameters such as the number of actual cavitational events, the residence time of cavities in the low-pressure zone and the rate of pressure recovery (Braeutigam et al., 2010; Sharma et al., 2008). The cited factors depend on the hydraulic characteristics as well as on the geometrical configuration of the constriction, which can be considered as a chemical reactor. Recently, a great effort has been produced in realizing mathematical model of cavitation with chemical reactions in order to address the features of the treatment and discuss experimental results (Moholkar and Pandit, 1997; Colussi et al., 1998; Toegel et al., 2000; Capocelli et al., 2012, 2013).

Although the phenomenology of cavitation is well known, there is still the need to establish correlations and to obtain practical and quantitative information in the field of reactor design, with the goal of definitely turning the application into a consolidated waste-water treatment (Capocelli et al., 2012, 2013; Hidalgo et al., 2012). Additionally, the influence of bubble dynamics and transport mechanisms on the oxidation kinetic regime (Karatza et al., 2008, 2010) is still an unexplored field of research.

In the current work, the optimization of the influent parameters and the comprehension of their effects are dealt with, based on experimental and theoretical studies. Numerically, the dependence of •OH radical production on different physical variables has been addressed. The results are compared with empirical observation of organic pollutant degradation. The approach of coupling experimental campaign results with theoretical models which consider the related phenomena, such as chemical kinetics, bubble population and transport phenomena, would allow designers and engineers to gain insight into the physics and improve their predicting skills.

### 2. Experimental apparatus and Methodologies

A schematic of the experimental setup used for hydrodynamic cavitation is shown in Figure 1. The setup essentially consists of a closed loop circuit including a holding tank of capacity 1.5 L (with a cooling jacket in order to keep the solution temperature at 30°C), a bypass line equipped with a needle valve, used to regulate pressure and flow-rate at the constriction, and a centrifugal pump (max 3500 rpm) of maximum power rating 400 W. The convergent-divergent nozzle has the dimension  $d_p = 12$  mm;  $d_o = 2$  mm;  $l_1 = 20$  mm;  $l_2 = 12$  mm;  $l_3 = 50$  mm. Additionally, in Figure 1, the main operative parameters to be monitored (flow-rate q; inlet pressure  $P_1$  and recovered pressure  $P_2$ ) are highlighted.

The estimation of the chemical effect in cavitation (•OH radical production) is obtained by monitoring the degradation of a model compound, as a so-called dosimetry method (Braeutigam et al., 2010; Capocelli et al., 2012, 2013; Vichare et al., 2000). In this experimental work, the chosen compound is p-nitrophenol (PNP), an extensively studied priority pollutant (Kotronarau et al.,1991). The initial PNP concentration was 0.5 mg/L, the solution pH=5 and the concentration was monitored at the spectrophotometer at  $\lambda$ =401nm by putting the sample in alkaline solution (Kotronarau et al.,1991). For investigating the hydrodynamic influence on degradation kinetics, the inlet pressure  $P_1$  was varied in the range 2-6 bar, by varying the flow in the bypass line. The flow rate through the Venturi changes as a function of  $P_1$  values: from 3.46 L/min (at 2 bar) to 5.99 L/min (at 6 bar).



Figure 1: schematic representation of the experimental apparatus

### 3. Mathematical Algorithm

The algorithm described in this section aims at simulating the bubble dynamics and the hydroxyl radical production in a Venturi reactor. The geometrical dimensions are set according to the value of the experimental apparatus (parameter  $\beta = d_p/d_o$  is variable) and the inlet pressure and flow-rate are varied in the experimental range. The model of bubble dynamics used for the following simulation is based on the considerations put forward in a seminal paper by Toegel and coworkers (Toegel et al., 2000). It consists of the Keller and Miksis equation for bubble dynamics, the mass balance with the diffusion limited approach and chemical reactions, the energy balance on the bubble as described in several papers (Capocelli et al., 2012, 2013; Khrishnan et al., 2006). In writing the ODEs system for the cavitating flow in such geometries, the approach of Moholkar and Pandit (1997) has been adopted, based on the assumption of steady state cavitating flow for a constant mass flow rate. This approach is the basis of several numerical studies of the last years and allows the estimation of the theoretical availability of hydroxyl radicals at the collapse stage of a bubble. The algorithm implemented in this work is illustrated in Figure 2. The upper part points out the solution of the single bubble dynamic equation with chemical reactions at the collapse stage in order to quantify the radical •OH production for each bubble. It is based on consolidated theoretical works that propose the simulation of the bubble adiabatic collapse after that the maximum isothermal expansion has been reached. The model consider the bubble as a reactive mixtures of compounds that are not able to diffuse out of the bubble (16 chemical species and 45 chemical reactions); further details of the model are given in the work of Colussi et al. (1998) and Capocelli et al. (2013).

The main input parameters are the pressure profile (dependent on the geometrical configuration) and the flow rate. For modelling the local bulk liquid pressure, which directly influences bubble nucleation, growth and collapse, the algorithm of Moholkar and Pandit (1997) was used. Thus, the instantaneous velocity in the flow downstream of the orifice (useful for the calculation of the pressure profile) can be written as the sum of two contributions: the mean flow velocity and the turbulent fluctuating velocity in the axial direction.

The lower part of Figure 2 shows the novelty introduced in the current study: a global approach that takes into account for the simultaneous presence of an actual multitude of bubbles. As reported in Eq.(1), the hydroxyl radical production (for each bubble) [#molecules/bubble] is multiplied by the cavitation event rate J [#bubble m<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>]. This term is taken in accordance with the work of Delale et al. (2005). The result is a more accurate way to see

the radical production because it considers the actual portion of bubble which undergoes cavitation by using the nucleation event rate *J*.



Figure 2: representation of the mathematical algorithm for simulating the hydroxyl radicals' production

## $\Pi_{OH}(R_0)=J(R_0)\cdot\pi_{OH}(R_0)$

By introducing a bubble size distribution it is possible to compare different experimental conditions in terms of chemical effect (•OH molecules production). A fixed bubble radius makes the result itself less general and less comparable to empirical observation. For this reason our results will consider an initial bubble distribution as suggested by Liu and Brennen (1998). Thus, the results are averaged over the nuclei distribution in order to obtain a result independent from the initial value  $R_0$  (Capocelli et al., 2013; Colussi et al., 1998) and reported in Eq.(2). Our calculations span the range bracketed by  $R_0$ = 20-200 µm:

$$\overline{\Pi_{aH}} = \frac{\int \Pi_{aH}(R_0) N(R_0) dR_0}{\int N(R_0) dR_0}$$

4. Results and Discussion

The efficiency of HC oxidation strongly depends on operating (*e.g.* inlet pressure and flow rate) and geometrical parameters (*e.g.* orifice-pipe-ratio  $\beta = d_p/d_0$ ) (Braeutigam et al., 2010; Capocelli et al., 2013).

By simulating the single bubble dynamics with chemical reactions it is possible to calculate the number of •OH molecules generated during the collapse event. The results are shown in Figure 3 in dependence of the initial bubble size and inlet pressure. Moreover, the left side of the figure is related to an orifice-to-pipe ratio  $\beta$ =0.2, while the right side to  $\beta$ =0.5. This geometrical parameter has a negative influence on the radical production: a narrower constriction increases the fluid velocity and the pressure drop, incrementing the tension applied to the water to evaporate into the bubble. The effect of orifice-to-pipe ratio (in the investigated conditions) is in accordance with literature suggestions (Sharma et al., 2008).

(2)

(1)



Figure 3: hydroxyl radical production  $\pi_{OH}$  [#molecules/bubble] in relation to the inlet pressure  $P_1$  and the initial bubble size  $R_0$  for two different orifice-to-pipe ratio  $\beta$ 

The highest radical production is obtained at low inlet pressure because of the lower turbulence stresses on the bubbles and the longer time available for water to evaporate and bubble to grow up: more water molecules into the bubble at the collapse stage means more •OH molecules generated (assuming the same temperature and pressure peaks). Although the results do not strongly depend on the initial bubble size  $R_0$ , the obtained results is not easily comprehensible and comparable with empirical observation while the specific production (per cubic meter of water) is definitely a more interesting results.

The simulation of global radical production  $\Pi_{OH}$  [mol m<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>] is numerically calculated at different inlet pressures  $P_1$  and orifice-to-pipe diameters  $\beta$ . The results are shown in Figure 4. It is possible to observe the existence of a higher effective zone, at low  $\beta$  and pressure values in proximity of  $P_1 = 4$  bar. Also in case of global production, the effect of orifice-to-pipe ratio appears to be monotonically negative: the lower the  $\beta$ , the higher the mechanical energy dissipated and the higher pressure drop occurring into the Venturi. This turns into a higher tension applied to the bubble for growing up and in more frequent cavitation events. These phenomena are accompanied by a higher mass of vapor in the collapsing bubble and more •OH generated. The inlet pressure, instead, shows a non-monotonic influence with a peak around 4 bar for every level of  $\beta$ . This is due to the simultaneous presence of different effects which act in opposition: the higher the pressure is, more rapidly the bubbles collapse because of the turbulence stresses, resulting in a lower specific radical production; on the contrary, by increasing the pressure value (below the limit of *chocked cavitation*) the number of actual cavitation nuclei is greater with a positive effect of radical generation. Although this may appear a simplistic view of the involved phenomenology, many literature works recognize the existence of an optimal pressure level, in relation to features acting in contrast (Kumar et al., 2011, 2013; Khrishnan et al.,2006).



Figure 4: hydroxyl radical production  $\Pi_{OH}$  [#molecules m<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>] in relation to the inlet pressure P<sub>1</sub> and the orifice-topipe ratio  $\beta$ 

The combined effect of  $\beta$  and  $P_1$  has been analyzed by several simulations; the surface which better interpolates numerical results ( $\beta$ ,  $P_1$ , •OH) is a paraboloid; in order to increase the predictive capacity of the Venturi advanced oxidation, a correlation has been proposed in Eq.(3):

 $OH = a_0 + a_1 \cdot P_1 + a_2 \cdot \beta + a_3 \cdot P_1^2 + a_4 \cdot \beta^2 + a_8 \cdot P_1 \beta$ 

(3)

with the coefficients  $a_i$  reported in Table 1. This relationship can be useful in predicting the effectiveness of the Venturi reactor in terms of •OH production. The fifth coefficient  $a_5$  incorporates the effect of correlation between the studied parameters. It is important to mention that the other geometrical characteristics except  $\beta$ , are fixed, the initial bubble size distribution included. A parity diagram is reported in Figure 5 to check for the effectiveness of the proposed relationship in interpolating the results.

Table 1: Parameters  $a_i$  to be used in the theoretical correlation of Eq.(3)

<i>a</i> <sub>0</sub>	<i>a</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>a</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	<i>a</i> <sub>4</sub>	<i>a</i> <sub>5</sub>
-14,1763	11,9243	-13,7244	-1,3901	14,5596	-0,7705



Figure 5: comparison in terms of hydroxyl radical production between the simulation results and the correlation given in Eq.(3)

The experimental results of p-nitrophenol dosimetry can be directly compared with the theoretical prediction of the optimal radical production as a function of the inlet pressure. The experimental results are reported in Figure 6, where time profiles of dimensionless concentration are visible (at different pressure levels). The effect of pressure is non-monotonic showing a higher degradation rate around 4 bar. The 4 bar curve shows a final degradation percentage at 30 min of 23 %, while at 3 and 5 bar it is of about 15 %. At values outside the cited range, the chemical effect is negligible: the final removal percentage is comparable with the experimental errors. The existence of an optimal pressure value at a fixed geometry comes from the combination of two aspects: increased number of cavities at higher pressures and higher specific production  $\pi_{OH}$  at the lower ones. Such observation is extensively confirmed by other experimental works: there is an optimum of the inlet pressure (at a constant flow rate) that should be used for getting the maximum benefits from the system (Kumar et al., 2011, 2013). The range identified in the current paper corresponds to the one suggested by the cited works and finds a good theoretical explanation in the described numerical results which individuated an optimal inlet pressure at 4 bar.

The maximum efficiency in degradation is more visible in Figure 7 where the energy consumption (EC) seen as the ratio of mechanical power consumed to mass of p-nitrophenol degraded, is plotted against the inlet pressure  $P_{in}$ . The figure evidences that, at the range close to  $P_{in}$ = 4 bar, corresponds a minimum energy consumption, thus a possibility to optimize the HC treatments. Although the calculated parameter strictly depends on the experimental conditions and scale, it is useful to individuate a critical working range and to compare the technology with other equivalent ones (*e.g.* ultrasound).



Figure 6: dimensionless p-nitrophenol concentration versus time, for 4 different levels of inlet pressure for the Venturi device in Figure 1



*Figure 7: energy consumption for p-nitrophenol degradation versus inlet pressure p<sub>in</sub> for the Venturi device shown in Figure 1* 

#### 5. Conclusion

The present work studies the chemical effect of cavitation in a Venturi reactor. The influence of inlet pressure and orifice-to-pipe ratio on the oxidative mechanism has been theoretically described and numerically simulated.

From an experimental point of view, the optimal pressure has been found in proximity of the value 4 bar, in terms of removal efficiency as well as energy consumption. Additionally the empirical results confirm the trend showed by the implementation of the mathematical algorithm, which suggests an optimal pressure of circa 4 bar for different values of the orifice-to-pipe ratio.

The validation of the model can possibly bring a new instrument to design cavitational reactors in the field of environmental applications. The proposed mathematical algorithm has the capability of extending the prediction over a wide range of operating and geometrical variables. Thus it is possible to produce mathematical correlation and predictive codes useful in designing HC devices, as the simple equation proposed in this paper.

Moreover the numerical simulations should be improved and extended to a larger variation of parameters in order to realize more sophisticated correlations. The addition of bubble population phenomena such as fission and coalescence, with the analysis of transport phenomena (for different chemical species) will be the ultimate step forward in the simulation of environmental application of hydrodynamic cavitation. The simulation of pollutant degradation will be an ultimate step in the mathematical model validation and the prediction of removal efficiency.

#### References

- Andreozzi R., Caprio V., Insola A., Marotta R., 1999. Advanced oxidation processes (AOP) for water purification and recovery, Catalysis Today, 53, 51–59.
- Belgiorno V., Rizzo L., Fatta D., Della Rocca C., Lofrano G., Nikolaou A., Meric S., 2007. Review on endocrine disrupting-emerging compounds in urban wastewater: occurrence and removal by photocatalysis and ultrasonic irradiation for wastewater reuse. Desalination, 215, 166–176.
- Braeutigam P., Franke M., Wu Z.-L., Ondruschka B., 2010. Role of Different Parameters in the Optimization of Hydrodynamic Cavitation. Chem. Eng. Technol., 6, 932-940.
- Capocelli M., Joyce E., Lancia A., Mason T.J. Musmarra D., Prisciandaro M., 2012. Sonochemical degradation of estradiols: Incidence of ultrasonic frequency. Chemical Engineering Journal, 210, 9-17.
- Capocelli M., Prisciandaro M., Lancia A., Musmarra D., 2013. Modeling of cavitation as an advanced wastewater treatment. Desalination and Water Treatment, 51, 1609-1614.
- Caracciolo A.B., Patrolecco L., Di Lenola M., Battaglia A., Grenni P., 2012. Degradation of emerging pollutants in aquatic ecosystems. Chem. Eng. Trans., 28, 37-42.
- Colussi A.J., Weavers L.K., Hoffmann M.R., 1998. Chemical Bubble Dynamics and Quantitative Sonochemistry. J. Phys. Chem. A., 102, 6927-6934.
- Delale C.F., Okita K., Matsumoto Y., 2005, Steady-State Cavitating Nozzle Flows with Nucleation. Transactions of the ASME, 127, 770-777.
- Eusebi A.L., Santini M., De Angelis A., Battistoni P., 2011. Mbr and Alternate Cycles Processes: Advanced Technologies for Liquid Wastewaters Treatment. Chem. Eng. Trans., 24, 1057-1062
- Gogate P.R., Pandit A.B., 2004. A review of imperative technologies for wastewater treatment. I. Oxidation technologies at ambient conditions. Adv. Environ. Res., 8, 553-597.
- Gogate P.R, Sutkar V.S., Pandit A.B., 2011. Sonochemical reactors: Important design and scale up considerations with a special emphasis on heterogeneous systems. Chem. Eng. J., 166, 1066–1082.
- Hidalgo L.M.R., Suarez L.M.P., Perez L.E.A., 2012. Use of the modelling in the development of a technology for treating wastewater from a dangerous process. Chem. Eng. Trans., 29, 1279-1284.
- Kotronarou A., Mills G., Hoffman M.R., 1991. Ultrasonic Irradiation of p-Nitrophenol in Aqueous Solution. J. Phys. Chem., 95, 3630-3638.
- Karatza D., Prisciandaro M., Lancia A., Musmarra D., 2008. Reaction rate of sulfite oxidation catalyzed by cuprous ions. Chem. Eng. J., 145, 285–289.
- Karatza D., Prisciandaro M., Lancia A., Musmarra D., 2010. Sulfite oxidation catalyzed by cobalt ions in flue gas desulfurization processes. J. Air Waste Ma., 60, 675–680.
- Krishnan J.S., Dwivedi P. and Moholkar V.S. 2006. Numerical Investigation into Chemistry Induced by Hydrodynamic Cavitation. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 45, 1493-1504.
- Kumar V.S., Badve M. P., Pandit A.B., 2011. Degradation of Reactive Red 120 dye using hydrodynamic cavitation. Chem. Eng. J., 178, 100-107.

- Kumar V.S., Rizwani M.A., Malani A.A., Pandit A.B., 2013. Effect of geometry of hydrodynamically cavitating device on degradation of orange-G. Ultrason. Sonochem., 20, 345–353.
- Liu Z., Brennen C.E., 1998. Cavitation Nuclei Population and Event Rates. J. Fluid. Eng.T. ASME., 120, 728-737.
- Moholkar V.S., Pandit A. B., 1997. Bubble Behavior in Hydrodynamic Cavitation: Effect of Turbulence. AIChE J., 43, 1641-1648.
- Rosa J.M., Tambourgi E.B., Santana J.C.C., 2012. Reuse of Textile Effluent Treated with Advanced Oxidation Process by UV/H2O2. Chem. Eng. Trans., 26, 206-212.
- Sharma A., Gogate P.G., Mahulkar A., Pandit A.B., 2008. Modeling of hydrodynamic cavitation reactors based on orifice plates considering hydrodynamics and chemical reactions occurring in bubble. Chem. Eng. J., 143, 201-209.
- Toegel R., Gompf B., Pecha R., Lohse D., 2000. Does Water Vapor Prevent Upscaling Sonoluminescence. Phys. Rev. Lett., 85, 3165-3168.
- Vichare N.P., Gogate P.R., Pandit, A.B, 2000. Optimization of Hydrodynamic Cavitation Using a Model Reaction, Chem. Eng. Technol., 23, 683–690.