

VOL. 74, 2019



DOI: 10.3303/CET1974194

Guest Editors: Sauro Pierucci, Jiří Jaromír Klemeš, Laura Piazza Copyright © 2019, AIDIC Servizi S.r.l. ISBN 978-88-95608-71-6; ISSN 2283-9216

Zinc Sulfide Prepared through ZnO Sulfuration: Characterization and Photocatalytic Activity

Vincenzo Vaiano, Olga Sacco*, Daniela Barba, Vincenzo Palma

University of Salerno, Department of Industrial Engineering, Via Giovanni Paolo II, 132, 84084 Fisciano (SA) osacco@unisa.it

P-nitrophenol (PNP) is a toxic organic pollutant and it is difficult to remove from wastewater by means of conventional treatment processes. Therefore, effective and low cost alternative water treatment technologies are required. In the last years there has been a growing interest in advanced oxidation processes involving semiconductor mediated photocatalysis because the process mineralizes many toxic organic pollutants to carbon dioxide and water at ambient temperature under UV light.

The aim of this work is to synthetize ZnS photocatalyst starting from formerly ZnO prepared with different precursors such as zinc sulphate and zinc nitrate by means of precipitation method. ZnS was then obtained by sulfuration of ZnO particles. The sulfuration step was carried out at 450°C in presence of N₂ gaseous stream containing H₂S at 5 vol%. The prepared photocatalysts were characterized from chemical-physical point of view using different techniques (XRD, BET, UV-vis DRS, Raman).

The photocatalytic tests were carried out in a recirculating batch cylindrical photoreactor irradiated by a UV-LEDs strip (nominal power of 12W/m and wavelength emission peak at about 365 nm) surrounding the external surface of the reactor. The experimental results evidenced that the optimal sample is ZnS obtained from the sulphuration of ZnO synthetized by zinc sulphate. In particular, this sample was able to achieve about 51% PNP degradation after 240 min of UV light irradiation.

1. Introduction

Phenol and its derivatives are found abundantly in wastewater discharged from several industrial units, coal washeries, coke ovens, dyes intermediate manufacturing, pesticides, herbicides, dyes, explosives and pharmaceuticals (Gota and Sundaramurthy, 2014, Narasimha Murthy et al., 2016). They are irritant and toxic towards plants, microorganisms, animals, and human beings and they are considered priority toxic pollutants by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (Andreozzi et al., 1999, Aslam et al., 2014). For instance, nitrophenols are some of the most refractory substance present in industrial wastewaters because of their high stability and solubility in water, generally detected in agriculture waste and appear in the degradation of pesticides like parathion and nitrofen (Galindo and Kalt, 1999). Phenol and its nitrophenols derivatives are frequently found in the environment due to their widespread use and they are some of the most refractory substance present in industrial wastewaters because of their high stability and solubility in water (Martínková et al., 2016). For this reason, many techniques are available to remove the phenolic compounds from water and/ or wastewater, including coagulation (Solanki et al., 2013), biological degradation (Dai et al., 2009), adsorption (Ahmed and Theydan, 2015) and membrane filtration (Ivančev-Tumbas et al., 2008). High costs, low efficiency, and leaving of dangerous and toxic by-products are among the factors which limit the use of some of these methods of removal (Bazrafshan, 2016). In particular, for phenol and nitrophenols, the efficiency of the above treatments is usually not enough for the complete mineralization of the pollutants. Therefore, effective and low cost alternative water treatment technologies are still required. Over the past two to three decades, there has been a growing interest in advanced oxidation processes involving semiconductor mediated photocatalysis because the process mineralizes many toxic organic pollutants to carbon dioxide and water at ambient temperature under UV/visible light irradiation.

Paper Received: 21 June 2018; Revised: 25 November 2018; Accepted: 6 May 2019

Please cite this article as: Vaiano V., Sacco O., Barba D., Palma V., 2019, Zinc Sulfide Prepared Through Zno Sulfuration: Characterization and Photocatalytic Activity, Chemical Engineering Transactions, 74, 1159-1164 DOI:10.3303/CET1974194

1159

Photocatalytic processes make use of many oxide and sulfide semiconductors such as TiO₂, ZnO, WO₃, SrTiO₃, ZrO₂, ZnS and CdS were applied as catalyst and of oxygen as oxidizing agent (Andreozzi et al., 1999, Soria et al., 2017). Among them, ZnS has been considered as a photocatalyst in different chemical reactions due to its relatively wide band gap value (Zhang et al., 2013). In particular, the band gap energy of ZnS semiconductor was 3.6 eV (Hart et al., 2014), meaning that ZnS is able to absorb light with wavelengths below 380 nm. In this work ZnS photocatalyst was synthetized starting from formerly ZnO prepared with different salt precursors (zinc sulphate and zinc nitrate) by means of precipitation method. ZnS was then obtained by sulfuration of ZnO particles. The prepared ZnS samples were tested in the photocatalytic removal of PNP under UV light.

2. Experimental

2.1 Photocatalysts preparation and characterization

ZnO particles were prepared by means of precipitation method (Vaiano et al., 2017). In detail, 8 g of ZnSO₄ (Aldrich, 99%) or Zn(NO₃)₂ (Aldrich, 99%) was dissolved in 50 mL of distilled water and the precipitate was obtained through the slow addition of an aqueous solution of 25 mL of distilled water containing NaOH (Aldrich, 99%) at room temperature. The preparation has been performed maintaining constant and equal to 2 the molar ratio between NaOH and the precursor salt (ZnSO₄ or Zn(NO₃)₂). The generated precipitate was centrifuged, washed and calcined at 450 °C for 2 h. Commercial ZnS (Aldrich, 99%) was also used as reference material. The ZnO activation was performed by sulfuration treatment, by converting the metal oxide (ZnO) into Zinc sulfide (ZnS), according to the following reaction:

 $ZnO(s) + H_2S(g) \rightarrow ZnS(s) + H_2O(g)$

The reaction involves the sulfuration of the metal oxide by H_2S , leading to the formation of the metal sulfide and water. The sulfuration tratment was carried out in a quartz reactor specifically designed and made of a tube with a 300 mm length and internal diameter of 12 mm (Palma et al., 2018).

ZnO particles were placed in the isothermal zone of the reactor and the measurement of the temperature on the solid particles bed was realized by means of a thermocouple placed in a quartz sheath, concentric to the reactor. The activation step has been realized by feeding a gaseous stream containing 5 vol % of H₂S in a nitrogen flow (400 Ncm³ min⁻¹) by heating the reactor with a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ up to 450 °C and kept in isothermal for 1 h. The samples were named ZnS_c (commercial ZnS, Sigma–Aldrich), ZnS_sF (ZnS from ZnO prepared from ZnSO₄), ZnS_nT (ZnS from ZnO prepared from Zn(NO₃)₂) and ZnS_sC (ZnS prepared from commercial ZnO). All the prepared photocatalysts were summarized in Table 1.

Physical-chemical characterization of the samples has been performed through X-ray diffraction (XRD) with an X-ray micro-diffractometer Rigaku D-max-RAPID, using Cu-K α radiation and a cylindrical imaging plate detection. Laser Raman spectra were obtained at room temperature with a Dispersive Micro-Raman (Invia, Renishaw), equipped with 514 nm laser, in the range 200 - 800 cm⁻¹ Raman shift.

The specific surface area (BET) of the samples was measured from dynamic N₂ adsorption measurement at 196 °C, performed by a Costech Sorptometer 1042 after pre-treatment at 150 °C for 30 min in He flow. The ultraviolet-visible diffuse reflectance spectra (UV-Vis DRS) of the samples were recorded using a Perkin Elmer spectrometer Lambda 35 spectrophotometer using a RSA-PE-20 reflectance spectroscopy accessory (Labsphere Inc., North Sutton, NH). The band gap values of photocatalysts were determined through the corresponding Kubelka–Munk function (KM) (which is proportional to the absorption of radiation) and by plotting (KM × h₀)² against h₀.

2.2 Photocatalytic activity tests

PNP solution was prepared by dissolving 10 mg in 1L of MilliQ-grade water until to obtain an initial concentration equal to 10 mg L⁻¹. The photocatalytic tests were realized using a pyrex cylindrical photoreactor (ID = 2.6 cm, L_{TOT} = 41 cm and V_{TOT} = 200 mL) equipped with an air distributor device (Q_{air} =150cm³ min⁻¹). UV-LEDs strip (nominal power: 12 W, provided by LEDlightinghut emitting at 365 nm) was positioned around and in contact with the external body of the photoreactor in order to irradiate the volume of the solution uniformly. A peristaltic pump (Watson Marlow) was used to maintain continuously recirculated the suspension inside the reactor. A volume of PNP solution equal to 100mL with a catalyst amount of 1.5 g L⁻¹ was employed for the tests. During the irradiation time, slurry samples (about 2 mL) were withdrawn at fixed time and then they were centrifuged to remove the catalyst powders before the concentration measurement by a Perkin Elmer UV-Vis spectrophotometer at 400 nm for determining the residual PNP concentration. The total organic carbon (TOC) contained in a fixed volume of the solution was measured by the high temperature combustion method on a catalyst (Pt-Al₂O₃) in a tubular flow micro-reactor operated at 680 °C. The solution was injected

1160

in the catalytic reactor fed with air to oxidize the organic carbon into CO₂, whose concentration in gas–phase was monitored by a continuous analyzer (Uras 14, ABB) (Sacco et al., 2019).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Characterization of the samples

The Raman spectra of the samples are shown in Figure 1. For all the prepared samples the main modes are observed at 271 and 352 cm⁻¹ and can be referred to TO and LO mode of cubic blende zinc sulfide (Nilsen, 1969). In addition, it is important to underline that all the samples obtained after the sulfuration treatment (ZnS sC, ZnS nT and ZnS sF) evidenced the same Raman signals of the commercial ZnS. These results confirm that the preparation method is able to completely convert zinc oxide into zinc sulfide by means of sulfuration process. The crystalline phases of samples were also determined by XRD analysis (Figure 2). All the samples have shown the diffraction patterns at 20 values of 28.5°, 33.1°, 47.4°, 56.3°, 69.4° and 76.7°, associated to the crystalline planes of the face centered cubic structure of ZnS (Soltani et al., 2012). All the patterns found in the case of prepared ZnS samples are similar without any additional peaks due to ZnO crystalline phase (Zak et al., 2011).] Moreover, no patterns corresponding to impurities were detected, indicating the high purity of the prepared samples. The specific surface areas were also measured for all the ZnS samples and reported in Table 1. In particular, the value of specific surface area of all the prepared ZnS particles was lower (3 m² g⁻¹ for ZnS_nT; 6 m² g⁻¹ for ZnS_sC and 10 m² g⁻¹ for ZnS_sF) with respect to the commercial ZnS (17 m² g⁻¹). The band gap values, estimated from the UV-Vis DRS spectra using the Kubelka-Munk function, are reported in Table 1. From the comparison between the band gap (E_{bg}) values, it can be seen that the use of different ZnO in sulfuration treatment not only determined a different value of specific surface area but also a different band edge. In fact, Ebg of commercial sample (ZnS_c) is equal to 3.5 eV, whereas for all prepared samples is lower and equal to 3.2 eV for ZnS_sC and 2.5 eV for ZnS_nT and ZnS sF catalysts.

3.2 Photocatalytic activity tests

Figure 3 shows the photocatalytic results of PNP degradation and mineralization using all the photocatalysts under UV irradiation. In particular, the best results in terms of degradation and mineralization were obtained using ZnS_sF sample leading to a degradation (Figure 3a) of 51% and mineralization (Figure 3b) of 20% after 240 min of UV irradiation. A lower activity was achieved using commercial ZnS sample ZnS_c that allowed to achieve only 7% PNP degradation (Figure 3a) and 6% mineralization (Figure 3b) after 240 min of UV irradiation. This result could be due to the lower band gap value of ZnS sF (2.5 eV) compared to ZnS c (3.5 eV). Generally, the main aspects that one should consider to compare the experimental photocatalytic performance of different semiconductor are three. The first is the electronic structure, which determines the optical properties and band structure (band gap) of the photocatalysts (D'Amato et al., 2018). In fact, in agreement with the experimental results (Figure 3), the lower band gap of the ZnS sC, ZnS nT and ZnS sF compared to ZnS c led to a higher photocatalytic degradation of PNP pollutant. The second aspect is the crystallinity of the samples, which, as it is possible to observe from the XRD pattern (Figure 2), confirmed that all the samples showed high crystallinity, which is beneficial to lowering the e- -h+ recombination rate (Patrocinio et al., 2015). The final key aspect that it is necessary to take into account is the surface characteristic of the photocatalysts and in particular the specific surface area that usually plays an important role on the photocatalytic oxidation reaction (Kumar, 2017). Therefore, considering the experimental results, it is possible to argue that the difference observed in the photocatalytic degradation of PNP using the ZnS_cF and ZnS_nT samples (PNP removal equal to 51% and 33% of after 240 min of UV irradiation time) could be attributed to the different specific surface area that in the case of ZnS_sF is equal to 10 m² g⁻¹ while for ZnS_nT is equal to $3 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$.

Sample	Specific surface area	E _{bg}
	$[m^2 g^{-1}]$	[eV]
ZnS_c	17	3.50
ZnS_sC	6	3.20
ZnS_nT	3	2.50
ZnS_sF	10	2.50

Table 1: Summary of physicochemical properties of prepared photocatalysts.



Figure 1: Raman spectra of ZnS_c, ZnS_sC, ZnS_nT and ZnS_sF.



Figure 2: XRD patterns of ZnS_c, ZnS_sC, ZnS_nT and ZnS_sF.



Figure 3: Photocatalytic a) degradation and b) mineralization of PNP using ZnS_c, ZnS_sC, ZnS_nT and ZnS_sF phtocatalysts.

4. Conclusions

In this work, ZnS photocatalyst was prepared starting from commercial ZnO, or ZnO synthetized using precipitation method. The corresponding ZnS samples were then obtained by sulfuration of ZnO particles carried out at 450°C in presence of N₂ gaseous stream containing H₂S at 5 vol%. The XRD results showed that all samples have high crystallinity and no impurities are detectable. The best photocatalytic results in terms of degradation and mineralization of PNP were obtained on ZnS_sF sample, with a degradation of 51% and mineralization of 20% after 240 min of UV irradiation. The ZnS_sF photocatalyst has the lowest band gap energy value (2.5 eV) together with the higher specific surface area (10 m² g⁻¹) compared with the other prepared ZnS samples. These features permit a more efficient electron/hole separation, enhancing the

photocatalytic reactivity towards the PNP removal from aqueous solutions. Due to the value of band gap energy, further experimental studies should be directed to test the ZnS_sF photocatalytic activity also under visible light.

References

- Ahmed, M. J. & Theydan, S. K. 2015. Adsorptive removal of p-nitrophenol on microporous activated carbon by FeCl3 activation: equilibrium and kinetics studies. Desalination and Water Treatment, 55, 522-531.
- Andreozzi, R., Caprio, V., Insola, A. & Marotta, R. 1999. Advanced oxidation processes (AOP) for water purification and recovery. Catalysis Today, 53, 51-59.
- Aslam, M., Ismail, I. M. I., Almeelbi, T., Salah, N., Chandrasekaran, S. & Hameed, A. 2014. Enhanced photocatalytic activity of V2O5–ZnO composites for the mineralization of nitrophenols. Chemosphere, 117, 115-123.
- Bazrafshan, E. 2016. Application of adsorption process for phenolic compounds removal from aqueous environments: a systematic review Global NEST Journal, 18 (1), 146-163,.
- D'Amato, C. A., Giovannetti, R., Zannotti, M., Rommozzi, E., Minicucci, M., Gunnella, R. & Di Cicco, A. 2018. Band Gap Implications on Nano-TiO₂ Surface Modification with Ascorbic Acid for Visible Light-Active Polypropylene Coated Photocatalyst. Nanomaterials (Basel, Switzerland), 8, 599.
- Dai, R., Chen, J., Lin, J., Xiao, S., Chen, S. & Deng, Y. 2009. Reduction of nitro phenols using nitroreductase from E. coli in the presence of NADH. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 170, 141-143.
- Galindo, C. & Kalt, A. 1999. UV–H2O2 oxidation of monoazo dyes in aqueous media: a kinetic study. Dyes and Pigments, 40, 27-35.
- Gota, K. & Sundaramurthy, S. 2014. Removal of Phenol from Binary Aqueous Solutions with 4-Nitrophenol by Photocatalytic System, 3,69-72.
- Hart, J. N., Cutini, M. & Allan, N. L. 2014. Band Gap Modification of ZnO and ZnS through Solid Solution Formation for Applications in Photocatalysis. Energy Procedia, 60, 32-36.
- Ivančev-Tumbas, I., Hobby, R., Küchle, B., Panglisch, S. & Gimbel, R. 2008. p-Nitrophenol removal by combination of powdered activated carbon adsorption and ultrafiltration – comparison of different operational modes. Water Research, 42, 4117-4124.
- Kumar, A. 2017. A Review on the Factors Affecting the Photocatalytic Degradation of Hazardous Materials, Material Science & Engineering International Journal,1(3), 1-10.
- Martínková, L., Kotik, M., Marková, E. & Homolka, L. 2016. Biodegradation of phenolic compounds by Basidiomycota and its phenol oxidases: A review. Chemosphere, 149, 373-382.
- Narasimha Murthy, T., Suresh, P., Umabala, A. M. & Prasada Rao, A. V. 2016. Visible light activated photocatalytic degradation of mono-, di- and tri nitrophenols using Cu2O, Der Pharma Chemica,8 (6), 228-236.
- Nilsen, W. G. 1969. Raman Spectrum of Cubic ZnS. Physical Review, 182, 838-850.
- Palma, V., Barba, D., Vaiano, V., Colozzi, M., Palo, E., Barbato, L., Cortese, S. & Miccio, M. 2018. Catalytic oxidative decomposition of H2S for hydrogen production. Chemical Engineering Transactions, 70, 325-330.
- Patrocinio, A. O. T., Schneider, J., França, M. D., Santos, L. M., Caixeta, B. P., Machado, A. E. H. & Bahnemann, D. W. 2015. Charge carrier dynamics and photocatalytic behavior of TiO2 nanopowders submitted to hydrothermal or conventional heat treatment. RSC Advances, 5, 70536-70545.
- Sacco, O., Sannino, D. & Vaiano, V. 2019. Packed Bed Photoreactor for the Removal of Water Pollutants Using Visible Light Emitting Diodes, Applied Science, 9(3), 472-486.
- Solanki, M., Sundaramurthy, S., Das, S. N. & Shukla, K. 2013. Treatment of real textile wastewater using coagulation technology, International Journal of ChemTech Research, 5(2),610-615.
- Soltani, N., Saion, E., Hussein, M. Z., Erfani, M., Abedini, A., Bahmanrokh, G., Navasery, M. & Vaziri, P. 2012. Visible Light-Induced Degradation of Methylene Blue in the Presence of Photocatalytic ZnS and CdS Nanoparticles. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 13, 12242.
- Soria, J., Sanz, J., Torralvo, M. J., Sobrados, I., Garlisi, C., Palmisano, G., Çetinkaya, S., Yurdakal, S. & Augugliaro, V. 2017. The effect of the surface disordered layer on the photoreactivity of titania nanoparticles. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 210, 306-319.
- Vaiano, V., Matarangolo, M., Sacco, O. & Sannino, D. 2017. Photocatalytic removal of Eriochrome Black T dye over ZnO nanoparticles doped with Pr, Ce or Eu. Chemical Engineering Transactions, 57, 625-630.
- Zak, A. K., Razali, R., Majid, W. H. A. & Darroudi, M. 2011. Synthesis and characterization of a narrow size distribution of zinc oxide nanoparticles. International journal of nanomedicine, 6, 1399-1403.
- Zhang, J., Wang, Y., Zhang, J., Lin, Z., Huang, F. & Yu, J. 2013. Enhanced Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production Activities of Au-Loaded ZnS Flowers. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 5, 1031-1037.

1164